

# Cuntz-Krieger-Pimsner Algebras Associated with Amalgamated Free Product Groups

Rui OKAYASU

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University,  
Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan  
e-mail: rui@kusm.kyoto-u.ac.jp

## Abstract

We give a construction of a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra associated with an amalgamated free product of groups, generalizing Spielberg's construction of a certain Cuntz-Krieger algebra associated with a finitely generated free product of cyclic groups. Our nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras can be identified with certain Cuntz-Krieger-Pimsner algebras. We will also show that our algebras can be obtained by the crossed product construction of the canonical actions on the hyperbolic boundaries, which proves a special case of Adams' result about amenability of the boundary action for hyperbolic groups. We will also give an explicit formula of the  $K$ -groups of our algebras. Finally we will investigate the relationship between the KMS states of the generalized gauge actions on our  $C^*$  algebras and random walks on the groups.

## 1 Introduction

In [Cho], Choi proved that the reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3)$  of the free product of cyclic groups  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  is embedded in  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . Consequently, this shows that  $C_r^*(\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3)$  is a non-nuclear exact  $C^*$ -algebra, (see S. Wassermann [Was] for a good introduction to exact  $C^*$ -algebras). Spielberg generalized it to finitely generated free products of cyclic groups in [Spi]. Namely, he constructed a certain action on a compact space and proved that some Cuntz-Krieger algebras (see [CK]) can be obtained by the crossed product construction for the action. For a related topic, see W. Szymański and S. Zhang's work [SZ].

More generally, the above mentioned compact space coincides with Gromov's notion of the boundaries of hyperbolic groups (e.g. see [GH]). In [Ada], Adams proved that the action of any discrete hyperbolic group  $\Gamma$  on the hyperbolic boundary  $\partial\Gamma$  is amenable

in the sense of Anantharaman-Delaroche [Ana]. It follows from [Ana] that the corresponding crossed product  $C(\partial\Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma$  is nuclear, and this implies that  $C_r^*(\Gamma)$  is an exact  $C^*$ -algebra.

Although we know that  $C(\partial\Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma$  is nuclear for a general discrete hyperbolic group  $\Gamma$  as mentioned above, there are only few things known about this  $C^*$ -algebra. So one of our purposes is to generalize Spielberg's construction to some finitely generated amalgamated free product  $\Gamma$  and to give detailed description of the algebra  $C(\partial\Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma$ . More precisely, let  $I$  be a finite index set and  $G_i$  be a group containing a copy of a finite group  $H$  as a subgroup for  $i \in I$ . We always assume that each  $G_i$  is either a finite group or  $\mathbb{Z} \times H$ . Let  $\Gamma = *_H G_i$  be the amalgamated free product group. We will construct a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  associated with  $\Gamma$  by mimicking the construction for Cuntz-Krieger algebras with respect to the full Fock space in M. Enomoto, M. Fujii and Y. Watatani [EFW1] and D. E. Evans [Eva]. This generalizes Spielberg's construction.

First we show that  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  has a certain universal property as in the case of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras, which allows several descriptions of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . For example, it turns out that  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  is a Cuntz-Krieger-Pimsner algebra, introduced by Pimsner in [Pim2] and studied by several authors, e.g. T. Kajiwara, C. Pinzari and Y. Watatani [KPW]. We will also show that  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  can be obtained by the crossed product construction. Namely, we will introduce a boundary space  $\Omega$  with a natural  $\Gamma$ -action, which coincides with the boundary of the associated tree (see [Ser], [W1]). Then we will prove that  $C(\Omega) \rtimes_r \Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . Since the hyperbolic boundary  $\partial\Gamma$  coincides with  $\Omega$  and the two actions of  $\Gamma$  on  $\partial\Gamma$  and  $\Omega$  are conjugate,  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  is also isomorphic to  $C(\partial\Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma$ , and depends only on the group structure of  $\Gamma$ . As a consequence, we give a proof to Adams' theorem in this special case.

Next, we will consider the  $K$ -groups of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . In [Pim1], Pimsner gave a certain exact sequence of  $KK$ -groups of the crossed product by groups acting on trees. However, it is not a trivial task to apply Pimsner's exact sequence to  $C(\partial\Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma$  and obtain its  $K$ -groups. We will give explicit formulae of the  $K$ -groups of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  following the method used for the Cuntz-Krieger algebras instead of using  $C(\partial\Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma$ . We can compute the  $K$ -groups of  $C(\partial\Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma$  for concrete examples. They are completely determined by the representation theory of  $H$  and the actions of  $H$  on  $G_i/H$  (the space of right cosets) by left multiplication.

Finally we will prove that KMS states on  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  for generalized gauge actions arise from harmonic measures on the Poisson boundary with respect to random walks on the discrete group  $\Gamma$ . Consequently, for special cases, we can determine easily the type of factor  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma''$  for the corresponding unique KMS state of the gauge action by essentially the same arguments in M. Enomoto, M. Fujii and Y. Watatani [EFW2], which generalized J. Ramagge and G. Robertson's result [RR].

**Acknowledgment.** The author gives special thanks to Professor Masaki Izumi for various comments and many important suggestions.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we collect basic facts used in the present article. We begin by reviewing the Cuntz-Krieger-Pimsner algebras in [Pim2]. Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $X$  be a Hilbert bimodule over  $A$ , which means that  $X$  is a right Hilbert  $A$ -module with an injective \*-homomorphism of  $A$  to  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ , where  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of all adjointable  $A$ -linear operators on  $X$ . We assume that  $X$  is full, that is,  $\{\langle x, y \rangle_A \mid x, y \in X\}$  generates  $A$  as a  $C^*$ -algebra, where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$  is the  $A$ -valued inner product on  $X$ . We further assume that  $X$  has a finite basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ , which means that  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \langle u_i, x \rangle_A$  for any  $x \in X$ . We fix a basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  of  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(X) = A \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} X^{(n)}$  be the full Fock space over  $X$ , where  $X^{(n)}$  is the  $n$ -fold tensor product  $X \otimes_A X \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is naturally equipped with Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule structure. For each  $x \in X$ , the operator  $T_x : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_x(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) &= x \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n, \\ T_x(a) &= xa, \end{aligned}$$

for  $x, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  and  $a \in A$ . Note that  $T_x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X))$  satisfies the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} T_x^* T_y &= \langle x, y \rangle_A, \quad x, y \in X, \\ a T_x b &= T_{axb}, \quad x \in X, a, b \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\pi$  be the quotient map of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X))$  onto  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X))/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}(X))$  where  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}(X))$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of all compact operators of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X))$ . We denote  $S_x = \pi(T_x)$  for  $x \in X$ . Then we define the Cuntz-Krieger-Pimsner algebra  $\mathcal{O}_X$  to be

$$\mathcal{O}_X = C^*(S_x \mid x \in X).$$

Since  $X$  is full, a copy of  $A$  acting by left multiplication on  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . Furthermore we have the relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n S_{u_i} S_{u_i}^* = 1. \tag{\dagger}$$

On the other hand,  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is characterized as the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $A$  and  $S_x$ , satisfying the above relations [Pim2, Theorem 3.12]. More precisely, we have

**Theorem 2.1 ([Pim2, Theorem 3.12])** *Let  $X$  be a full Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule and  $\mathcal{O}_X$  be the corresponding Cuntz-Krieger-Pimsner algebra. Suppose that  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  is a finite*

basis for  $X$ . If  $B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\{s_x\}_{x \in X}$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} s_x + s_y &= s_{x+y}, & x \in X, \\ as_x b &= s_{axb}, & x \in X, a, b \in A, \\ s_x^* s_y &= \langle x, y \rangle_A, & x, y \in X, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n s_{u_i} s_{u_i}^* &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a unique surjective  $*$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{O}_X$  onto  $C^*(s_x)$  that maps  $S_x$  to  $s_x$ .

Next we recall the notion of amenability for discrete  $C^*$ -dynamical systems introduced by C. Anantharaman-Delaroche in [Ana]. Let  $(A, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system, where  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $G$  is a group and  $\alpha$  is an action of  $G$  on  $A$ . An  $A$ -valued function  $h$  on  $G$  is said to be of *positive type* if the matrix  $[\alpha_{s_i}(h(s_i^{-1}s_j))] \in M_n(A)$  is positive for any  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in G$ . We assume that  $G$  is discrete. Then  $\alpha$  is said to be *amenable* if there exists a net  $(h_i)_{i \in I} \subset C_c(G, Z(A''))$  of functions of positive type such that

$$\begin{cases} h_i(e) \leq 1 & \text{for } i \in I, \\ \lim_i h_i(s) = 1 & \text{for } s \in G, \end{cases}$$

where the limit is taken in the  $\sigma$ -weak topology in the enveloping von Neumann algebra  $A''$  of  $A$ . We remark that this is one of several equivalent conditions given in [Ana, Théorème 3.3]. We will use the following theorems without a proof.

**Theorem 2.2** ([Ana, Théorème 4.5]) *Let  $(A, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system such that  $A$  is nuclear and  $G$  is discrete. Then the following are equivalent:*

- 1) *The full  $C^*$ -crossed product  $A \rtimes_\alpha G$  is nuclear;*
- 2) *The reduced  $C^*$ -crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\alpha r} G$  is nuclear;*
- 3) *The  $W^*$ -crossed product  $A'' \rtimes_{\alpha w} G$  is injective;*
- 4) *The action  $\alpha$  of  $G$  on  $A$  is amenable.*

**Theorem 2.3** ([Ana, Théorème 4.8]) *Let  $(A, G, \alpha)$  be an amenable  $C^*$ -dynamical system such that  $G$  is discrete. Then the natural quotient map from  $A \rtimes_\alpha G$  onto  $A \rtimes_{\alpha r} G$  is an isomorphism.*

Finally, we review the notion of the strong boundary actions in [LS]. Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group acting by homeomorphisms on a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ . Suppose that  $\Omega$  has at least three points. The action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Omega$  is said to be a *strong boundary action* if for every pair  $U, V$  of non-empty open subsets of  $\Omega$  there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma U^c \subset V$ . The action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Omega$  is said to be *topologically free* in the sense of [AS] if the fixed point set of each non-trivial element of  $\Gamma$  has empty interior.

**Theorem 2.4 ( [LS, Theorem 5])** *Let  $(\Omega, \Gamma)$  be a strong boundary action where  $\Omega$  is compact. We further assume that the action is topologically free. Then  $C(\Omega) \rtimes_r \Gamma$  is purely infinite and simple.*

### 3 A motivating example

Before introducing our algebras, we present a simple case of Spielberg's construction for  $\mathbb{F}_2 = \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$  with generators  $a$  and  $b$  as a motivating example. See also [RS]. The Cayley graph of  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is a homogeneous tree of degree 4. The boundary  $\Omega$  of the tree in the sense of [Fre] (see also [Fur]) can be thought of as the set of all infinite reduced words  $\omega = x_1x_2x_3\cdots$ , where  $x_i \in S = \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$ . Note that  $\Omega$  is compact in the relative topology of the product topology of  $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} S$ . In an appendix, several facts about trees are collected for the convenience of the reader, (see also [FN]). Left multiplication of  $\mathbb{F}_2$  on  $\Omega$  induces an action of  $\mathbb{F}_2$  on  $C(\Omega)$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2$ , let  $\Omega(x)$  be the set of infinite words beginning with  $x$ . We identify the implementing unitaries in the full crossed product  $C(\Omega) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_2$  with elements of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Let  $p_x$  denote the projection defined by the characteristic function  $\chi_{\Omega(x)} \in C(\Omega)$ . Note that for each  $x \in S$ ,

$$p_x + xp_{x^{-1}}x^{-1} = 1,$$

$$p_a + p_{a^{-1}} + p_b + p_{b^{-1}} = 1,$$

hold. For  $x \in S$ , let  $S_x \in C(\Omega) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_2$  be a partial isometry

$$S_x = x(1 - p_{x^{-1}}).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_x^* S_y &= x^{-1} p_x p_y y = \delta_{x,y} S_x^* S_x = \delta_{x,y} (1 - p_{x^{-1}}), \\ S_x S_x^* &= x(1 - p_{x^{-1}}) x^{-1} = p_x, \\ S_x^* S_x &= 1 - p_{x^{-1}} = \sum_{y \neq x^{-1}} S_y S_y^*. \end{aligned}$$

These relations show that the partial isometries  $S_x$  generate the Cuntz-Krieger algebra  $\mathcal{O}_A$  [CK], where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, we can recover the generators of  $C(\Omega) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_2$  by setting

$$x = S_x + S_{x^{-1}}^* \quad \text{and} \quad p_x = S_x S_x^*.$$

Hence we have  $C(\Omega) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_A$ .

Next we recall the Fock space realization of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras, (e.g. see [Eva], [EFW1]). Let  $\{e_a, e_b, e_{a^{-1}}, e_{b^{-1}}\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^4$ . We define the Fock space associated with the matrix  $A$  by

$$\mathcal{F}_A = \mathbb{C}e_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} (\overline{\text{span}}\{e_{x_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{x_n} \mid A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1\}),$$

where  $e_0$  is the vacuum vector. For any  $x \in S$ , let  $T_x$  be the creation operator on  $\mathcal{F}$ , given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_x e_0 &= e_x, \\ T_x(e_{x_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{x_n}) &= \begin{cases} e_x \otimes e_{x_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{x_n} & \text{if } A(x, x_1) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $p_0$  be the rank one projection on the vacuum vector  $e_0$ . Note that we have

$$T_a T_a^* + T_b T_b^* + T_{a^{-1}} T_{a^{-1}}^* + T_{b^{-1}} T_{b^{-1}}^* + p_0 = 1.$$

If  $\pi$  is the quotient map of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$  onto the Calkin algebra  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{F})$ , then the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the partial isometries  $\{\pi(T_a), \pi(T_b), \pi(T_{a^{-1}}), \pi(T_{b^{-1}})\}$  is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra  $\mathcal{O}_A$ .

Now we look at this construction from another point of view. We can perform the following natural identification:

$$\mathcal{F} \ni e_{x_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{x_n} \longleftrightarrow \delta_{x_1 \cdots x_n} \in l^2(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

Under this identification, the creation operator  $T_x$  on  $l^2(\mathbb{F}_2)$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} T_x \delta_e &= \lambda_x \delta_e, \\ T_x \delta_{x_1 \cdots x_n} &= \begin{cases} \lambda_x \delta_{x_1 \cdots x_n} & \text{if } x \neq x_1^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the left regular representation of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

For a reduced word  $x_1 \cdots x_n \in \mathbb{F}_2$ , we define the length function  $|\cdot|$  on  $\mathbb{F}_2$  by  $|x_1 \cdots x_n| = n$ . Let  $p_n$  be the projection onto the closed linear span of  $\{\delta_\gamma \in l^2(\mathbb{F}_2) \mid |\gamma| = n\}$ . Then we can express  $T_x$  for  $x \in S$  by

$$T_x = \sum_{n \geq 0} p_{n+1} \lambda_x p_n.$$

Note that this expression makes sense for every finitely generated group. In the next section, we generalize this construction to amalgamated free product groups.

## 4 Construction of a nuclear $C^*$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$

In what follows, we always assume that  $I$  is a finite index set and  $G_i$  is a group containing a copy of a finite group  $H$  as a subgroup for  $i \in I$ . Moreover, we assume that each  $G_i$  is either a finite group or  $\mathbb{Z} \times H$ . We set  $I_0 = \{i \in I \mid |G_i| < \infty\}$ . Let  $\Gamma = *_H G_i$  be the amalgamated free product.

First we introduce a “length function”  $|\cdot|$  on each  $G_i$ . If  $i \in I_0$ , we set  $|g| = 1$  for any  $g \in G_i \setminus H$  and  $|h| = 0$  for any  $h \in H$ . If  $i \in I \setminus I_0$  we set  $|(a_i^n, h)| = |n|$  for any  $(a_i^n, h) \in G_i = \mathbb{Z} \times H$  where  $a_i$  is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Now we extend the length function to  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\Omega_i$  be a set of left representatives of  $G_i/H$  with  $e \in \Omega_i$ . If  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is written uniquely as  $g_1 \cdots g_n h$ , where  $g_1 \in \Omega_{i_1}, \dots, g_n \in \Omega_{i_n}$  with  $i_1 \neq i_2, \dots, i_{n-1} \neq i_n$  (we write simply  $i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n$ ), then we define

$$|\gamma| = \sum_{k=1}^n |g_k|.$$

Let  $p_n$  be the projection of  $l^2(\Gamma)$  onto  $l^2(\Gamma_n)$  for each  $n$ , where  $\Gamma_n = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid |\gamma| = n\}$ . We define partial isometries and unitary operators on  $l^2(\Gamma)$  by

$$\begin{cases} T_g = \sum_{n \geq 0} p_{n+1} \lambda_g p_n & \text{if } g \in \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \setminus H, \\ V_h = \lambda_h & \text{if } h \in H, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\pi$  be the quotient map of  $\mathcal{B}(l^2(\Gamma))$  onto  $\mathcal{B}(l^2(\Gamma))/\mathcal{K}(l^2(\Gamma))$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(l^2(\Gamma))$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $l^2(\Gamma)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(l^2(\Gamma))$  is the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of all compact operators of  $\mathcal{B}(l^2(\Gamma))$ . We set  $\pi(T_g) = S_g$  and  $\pi(V_h) = U_h$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we define  $S_\gamma$  by

$$S_\gamma = S_{g_1} \cdots S_{g_n},$$

where  $\gamma = g_1 \cdots g_n$  for some  $g_1 \in G_{i_1} \setminus H, \dots, g_n \in G_{i_n} \setminus H$  with  $i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n$ . Note that  $S_\gamma$  does not depend on the expression  $\gamma = g_1 \cdots g_n$ . We denote the initial projections of  $S_\gamma$  by  $Q_\gamma = S_\gamma^* \cdot S_\gamma$  and the range projections by  $P_\gamma = S_\gamma \cdot S_\gamma^*$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

We collect several relations, which the family  $\{S_g, U_h \mid g \in \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \setminus H, h \in H\}$  satisfies.

For  $g, g' \in \bigcup_i G_i \setminus H$  with  $|g| = |g'| = 1$  and  $h \in H$ ,

$$S_{gh} = S_g \cdot U_h, \quad S_{hg} = U_h \cdot S_g, \tag{1}$$

$$P_g \cdot P_{g'} = \begin{cases} P_g = P_{g'} & \text{if } gH = g'H, \\ 0 & \text{if } gH \neq g'H. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Moreover, if  $g \in G_i \setminus H$  and  $i \in I_0$ , then

$$Q_g = \sum_{\substack{j \in I_0 \\ j \neq i}} \sum_{g' \in \Omega_j \setminus \{e\}} P_{g'} + \sum_{j \in I \setminus I_0} P_{a_j} + P_{a_j^{-1}}, \tag{3}$$

and if  $g = a_i^{\pm 1}$  and  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ , then

$$Q_{a_i^{\pm 1}} = \sum_{j \in I_0} \sum_{g' \in \Omega_j \setminus \{e\}} P_{g'} + \sum_{\substack{j \in I \setminus I_0 \\ j \neq i}} \left( P_{a_j} + P_{a_j^{-1}} \right) + P_{a_i^{\pm 1}}. \quad (3)'$$

Finally,

$$1 = \sum_{i \in I_0} \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} P_g + \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_0} \left( P_{a_i} + P_{a_i^{-1}} \right). \quad (4)$$

Indeed, (1) follows from the relations  $T_{gh} = T_g V_h$  and  $T_{hg} = V_h T_g$ . From the definition, we have  $T_{g'}^* T_g = \sum_{n \geq 0} p_n \lambda_{g'}^* p_{n+1} \lambda_g p_n$ . This can be non-zero if and only if  $|g'^{-1}g| = 0$ , i.e.  $g'^{-1}g \in H$ . We have (2) immediately. The relation

$$1 = \sum_{i \in I_0} \sum_{g \in \Omega_i} T_g T_g^* + \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_0} \left( T_{a_i} T_{a_i}^* + T_{a_i^{-1}} T_{a_i^{-1}}^* \right) + p_0,$$

implies (4). By multiplying  $S_g^*$  on the left and  $S_g$  on the right of equation (4) respectively, we obtain (3).

Moreover, the following condition holds: Let  $P_i = \sum_{g \in \Omega_i} P_g$  for  $i \in I_0$ , and  $P_i = P_{a_i} + P_{a_i^{-1}}$  for  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ . For every  $i \in I$ , we have

$$C^*(H) \simeq C^*(P_i U_h P_i \mid h \in H). \quad (5)$$

Indeed, since the unitary representation  $P'_i V_h P'_i$  contains the left regular representation of  $H$  with infinite multiplicity, where  $P'_i$  is some projection with  $\pi(P'_i) = P_i$ . we have relation (5).

Now we consider the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the family  $\{S_g, U_h \mid g \in \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \setminus H, h \in H\}$  satisfying (1), (2), (3) and (4). We denote it by  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . Here, the universality means that if another family  $\{s_g, u_h\}$  satisfies (1), (2), (3) and (4), then there exists a surjective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  onto  $C^*(s_g, u_h)$  such that  $\phi(S_g) = s_g$  and  $\phi(U_h) = u_h$ . Summing up the above, we employ the following definitions and notation:

**Definition 4.1** *Let  $I$  be a finite index set and  $G_i$  be a group containing a copy of a finite group  $H$  as a subgroup for  $i \in I$ . Suppose that each  $G_i$  is either a finite group or  $\mathbb{Z} \times H$ . Let  $I_0$  be the subset of  $I$  such that  $G_i$  is finite for all  $i \in I_0$ . We denote the amalgamated free product  $*_H G_i$  by  $\Gamma$ .*

We fix a set  $\Omega_i$  of left representatives of  $G_i/H$  with  $e \in \Omega_i$  and a set  $X_i$  of representatives of  $H \backslash G_i / H$  which is contained in  $\Omega_i$ . Let  $(a_i, e)$  be a generator of  $G_i$  for  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ . We write  $a_i$ , for short. Here we choose  $\Omega_i = X_i = \{a_i^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We exclude the case where  $\bigcup_i \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}$  has only one or two points.

We define the corresponding universal  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  generated by partial isometries  $S_g$  for  $g \in \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \setminus H$  and unitaries  $U_h$  for  $h \in H$  satisfying (1), (2), (3) and (4).

We set for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$Q_\gamma = S_\gamma^* \cdot S_\gamma, \quad P_\gamma = S_\gamma \cdot S_\gamma^*,$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_i &= \sum_{g \in \Omega_i} P_g && \text{if } i \in I_0, \\ P_i &= P_{a_i} + P_{a_i^{-1}} && \text{if } i \in I \setminus I_0. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we set for any integer  $n$ ,

$$\Gamma_n = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid |\gamma| = n\},$$

$$\Delta_n = \{\gamma \in \Gamma_n \mid \gamma = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n, \gamma_k \in \Omega_{i_k}, i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n\}.$$

We also set  $\Delta = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \Delta_n$ .

**Lemma 4.2** For  $i \in I$  and  $h \in H$ ,

$$U_h P_i = P_i U_h.$$

*Proof.* Use the above relations (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3** Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ . Suppose that  $S_{\gamma_1}^* S_{\gamma_2} \neq 0$ .

If  $|\gamma_1| = |\gamma_2|$ , then  $S_{\gamma_1}^* S_{\gamma_2} = Q_g U_h$  for some  $g \in \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i, h \in H$ .

If  $|\gamma_1| > |\gamma_2|$ , then  $S_{\gamma_1}^* S_{\gamma_2} = S_\gamma^*$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  with  $|\gamma| = |\gamma_1| - |\gamma_2|$ .

If  $|\gamma_1| < |\gamma_2|$ , then  $S_{\gamma_1}^* S_{\gamma_2} = S_\gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  with  $|\gamma| = |\gamma_2| - |\gamma_1|$ .

*Proof.* By (2), we obtain the lemma.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4**

$$\mathcal{O}_\Gamma = \overline{\text{span}}\{S_\mu P_i S_\nu^* \mid \mu, \nu \in \Gamma, i \in I\}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from the previous lemma.  $\square$

Next we consider the gauge action of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . Namely, if  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  then the family  $\{zS_g, U_h\}$  also satisfies (1), (2), (3), (4) and generates  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . The universality gives an automorphism  $\alpha_z$  on  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  such that  $\alpha_z(S_g) = zS_g$  and  $\alpha_z(U_h) = U_h$ . In fact,  $\alpha$  is a continuous action of  $\mathbb{T}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ , which is called the *gauge action*. Let  $dz$  be the normalized Haar measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  and we define a conditional expectation  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  onto the fixed-point algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\mathbb{T} = \{a \in \mathcal{O}_\Gamma \mid \alpha_z(a) = a, \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{T}\}$  by

$$\Phi(a) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \alpha_z(a) dz, \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{O}_\Gamma.$$

**Lemma 4.5** The fixed-point algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\mathbb{T}$  is an AF-algebra.

*Proof.* For each  $i \in I$ , set

$$\mathcal{F}_n^i = \overline{\text{span}}\{ S_\mu P_i S_\nu^* \mid \mu, \nu \in \Gamma_n \}.$$

We can find systems of matrix units in  $\mathcal{F}_n^i$ , parameterized by  $\mu, \nu \in \Delta_n$ , as follows:

$$e_{\mu,\nu}^i = S_\mu P_i S_\nu^*.$$

Indeed, using the previous lemma, we compute

$$e_{\mu_1,\nu_1}^i e_{\mu_2,\nu_2}^i = \delta_{\nu_1,\mu_2} S_{\mu_1} P_i Q_{\nu_1} P_i S_{\nu_2}^* = \delta_{\nu_1,\mu_2} e_{\mu_1,\nu_2}^i.$$

Thus we obtain the identifications

$$\mathcal{F}_n^i \simeq M_{N(n,i)}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes e_{\mu,\mu}^i \mathcal{F}_n^i e_{\mu,\mu}^i,$$

for some integer  $N(n, i)$  and some  $\mu \in \Delta_n$ . Moreover, for  $\xi, \eta$ ,

$$e_{\mu,\mu}^i (S_\xi P_i S_\eta^*) e_{\mu,\mu}^i = \begin{cases} S_\mu P_i U_h P_i S_\mu^* & \text{if } \xi, \eta \in \mu H, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

for some  $h \in H$ . Note that  $C^*(S_\mu P_i U_h P_i S_\mu^* \mid h \in H)$  is isomorphic to  $C^*(P_i U_h P_i \mid h \in H)$  via the map  $x \mapsto S_\mu^* x S_\mu$ . Therefore the relation (5) gives

$$\mathcal{F}_n^i \simeq M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \overline{\text{span}}\{ S_\mu P_i U_h P_i S_\mu^* \mid h \in H \} \simeq M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C^*(H).$$

Note that  $\{\mathcal{F}_n^i \mid i \in I\}$  are mutually orthogonal and

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_n^i$$

is a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra.

The relation (2) gives  $\mathcal{F}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ . Hence,

$$\mathcal{F} = \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_n}$$

is an  $AF$ -algebra. Therefore it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\mathbb{T}$ . It is trivial that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\mathbb{T}$ . On the other hand, we can approximate any  $a \in \mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\mathbb{T}$  by a linear combination of elements of the form  $S_\mu P_i S_\nu^*$ . Since  $\Phi(a) = a$ ,  $a$  can be approximated by a linear combination of elements of the form  $S_\mu P_i S_\nu^*$  with  $|\mu| = |\nu|$ . Thus  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

We need another lemma to prove the uniqueness of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 4.6** *Suppose that  $i_0 \in I$  and  $W$  consists of finitely many elements  $(\mu, h) \in \Delta \times H$  such that the last word of  $\mu$  is not contained in  $\Omega_{i_0}$  and  $W \cap H = \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $\gamma = g_0 \cdots g_n$  with  $g_k \in \Omega_{i_k}$  and  $i_0 \neq \cdots \neq i_n \neq i_0$  such that for any  $(\mu, h) \in W$ ,  $\mu h \gamma$  never have the form  $\gamma \gamma'$  for some  $\gamma' \in \Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $i_0 \in I$  and  $W$  be a finite subset of  $\Delta \times H$  as above. We first assume that  $|I| \geq 3$ . Then we can choose  $x \in \Omega_{i_0}, y \in \Omega_j$  and  $z \in \Omega_{j'}$  such that  $j \neq i_0 \neq j'$  and  $j \neq j'$ . For sufficiently long word

$$\gamma = (xy)(xz)(xyxy)(xzxz)(xyxyxy)(xzxzxz) \cdots (\cdots z),$$

we are done. We next assume that  $|I| = 2$ . Since we exclude the case where  $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \setminus \{e\}$  has only one or two elements, we can choose at least three distinct points  $x \in \Omega_{i_0}, y \in \Omega_j$  and  $z \in \Omega_{j'}$ . If  $i_0 \neq j = j'$  we set

$$\gamma = (xy)(xz)(xyxy)(xzxz)(xyxyxy)(xzxzxz) \cdots (\cdots z),$$

as well. If  $i_0 = j \neq j'$  we set

$$\gamma = (xz)(yz)(xzxz)(yzyz)(xzxzxz)(yzyzyz) \cdots (\cdots z).$$

Then if  $\gamma$  has the desired properties, we are done. Now assume that there exist some  $(\mu, h) \in W$  such that  $\mu h \gamma = \gamma \gamma'$  for some  $\gamma'$ . Fix such an element  $(\mu, h) \in W$ . By hypothesis, we can choose  $\delta \in \Delta$  with  $|\gamma'| \leq |\delta|$  such that the last word of  $\delta$  does not belong to  $\Omega_{i_0}$  and  $\delta$  does not have the form  $\gamma' \delta'$  for some  $\delta'$ . Set  $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \delta$ . Then  $\mu h \tilde{\gamma}$  does not have the form  $\gamma \gamma''$  for any  $\gamma''$ . Indeed,

$$\mu h \tilde{\gamma} = \mu h \gamma \delta = \gamma \gamma' \delta \neq \tilde{\gamma} \gamma'',$$

for some  $\gamma''$ . Since  $W$  is finite, we can obtain a desired element  $\gamma$  by replacing  $\tilde{\gamma}$ , inductively.  $\square$

We now obtain the uniqueness theorem for  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 4.7** *Let  $\{s_g, u_h\}$  be another family of partial isometries and unitaries satisfying (1), (2), (3) and (4). Assume that*

$$C^*(H) \simeq C^*(p_i u_h p_i \mid h \in H),$$

where  $p_i = \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} s_g s_g^*$  for  $i \in I_0$  and  $p_i = s_{a_i} s_{a_i}^* + s_{a_i^{-1}} s_{a_i^{-1}}^*$  for  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ . Then the canonical surjective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  onto  $C^*(s_g, u_h)$  is faithful.

*Proof.* To prove the theorem, it is enough to show that (a)  $\pi$  is faithful on the fixed-point algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\mathbb{T}$ , and (b)  $\|\pi(\Phi(a))\| \leq \|\pi(a)\|$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  thanks to [BKR, Lemma 2.2].

To establish (a), it suffices to show that  $\pi$  is faithful on  $\mathcal{F}_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . By the proof of Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_n^i = M_{N(n,i)}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C^*(H),$$

for some integer  $N(n, i)$ . Note that  $s_g s_g^*$  is non-zero. Hence  $\pi$  is injective on  $M_{N(n, i)}(\mathbb{C})$ . By the other hypothesis,  $\pi$  is injective on  $C^*(H)$ .

Next we will show (b). It is enough to check (b) for

$$a = \sum_{\mu, \nu \in F} \sum_{j \in J} C_{\mu, \nu}^j S_\mu P_j S_\nu^*,$$

where  $F$  is a finite subset of  $\Gamma$  and  $J$  is a subset of  $I$ . For  $n = \max\{|\mu| \mid \mu \in F\}$ , we have

$$\Phi(a) = \sum_{\{\mu, \nu \in F \mid |\mu| = |\nu|\}} \sum_{j \in J} C_{\mu, \nu}^j S_\mu P_j S_\nu^* \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Now by changing  $F$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\min\{|\mu|, |\nu|\} = n$  for every pair  $\mu, \nu \in F$  with  $C_{\mu, \nu}^j \neq 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_n = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_n^i$ , there exists some  $i_0 \in J$  such that

$$\|\pi(\Phi(a))\| = \left\| \sum_{|\mu|=|\nu|} C_{\mu, \nu}^{i_0} s_\mu p_{i_0} s_\nu^* \right\|.$$

By changing  $F$  such that  $F \subset \Delta$  again, we may further assume that

$$\|\pi(\Phi(a))\| = \left\| \sum_{\substack{\mu, \nu \in F \\ |\mu|=|\nu|}} \sum_{h \in F'} C_{\mu, \nu, h}^{i_0} s_\mu p_{i_0} u_h p_{i_0} s_\nu^* \right\|$$

where  $F'$  consists of elements of  $H$ , (perhaps with multiplicity). By applying the preceding lemma to

$$W = \{(\mu', h) \in \Delta \times H \mid \mu' \text{ is subword of } \mu \in F, h^{-1} \in F'\},$$

we have  $\gamma \in \Delta$  satisfying the property in the previous lemma. Then we define a projection

$$Q = \sum_{\tau \in \Delta_n} s_\tau s_\gamma p_{i_0} s_\gamma^* s_\tau^*.$$

By hypothesis,  $Q$  is non-zero.

If  $\mu, \nu \in \Delta_n$  then

$$Q (s_\mu p_{i_0} s_\nu^*) Q = s_\mu s_\gamma p_{i_0} s_\gamma^* p_{i_0} s_\gamma p_{i_0} s_\gamma^* s_\nu^* = s_\mu s_\gamma p_{i_0} s_\gamma^* s_\nu^*$$

is non-zero. Therefore  $s_\mu (s_\gamma p_{i_0} s_\gamma^*) s_\nu^*$  is also a family of matrix units parameterized by  $\mu, \nu \in \Delta_n$ . Hence the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4.5 give

$$\pi(\mathcal{F}_n^{i_0}) \simeq M_{N(n, i_0)}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C^* (s_\mu s_\gamma p_{i_0} u_h p_{i_0} s_\gamma^* s_\mu^* \mid h \in H).$$

By hypothesis, we deduce that  $b \mapsto Q\pi(b)Q$  is faithful on  $\mathcal{F}_n^{i_0}$ . In particular, we conclude that  $\|\pi(\Phi(a))\| = \|Q\pi(\Phi(a))Q\|$ .

We next claim that  $Q\pi(\Phi(a))Q = Q\pi(a)Q$ . We fix  $\mu, \nu \in F$ . If  $|\mu| \neq |\nu|$  then one of  $\mu, \nu$  has length  $n$  and the other is longer; say  $|\mu| = n$  and  $|\nu| > n$ . Then

$$Q(s_\mu p_{i_0} u_h p_{i_0} s_\nu^*) Q = s_\mu s_\gamma p_{i_0} s_\gamma^* p_{i_0} u_h p_{i_0} s_\nu^* \left( \sum_{\tau \in \Delta_n} s_\tau s_\gamma p_{i_0} s_\gamma^* s_\tau^* \right).$$

Since  $|\nu| > |\tau|$ , this can have a non-zero summand only if  $\nu = \tau\nu'$  for some  $\nu'$ . However  $s_\gamma^* u_h s_\nu^* s_\tau s_\gamma = s_\gamma^* u_h s_{\nu'}^* s_\gamma$ , and  $s_{\nu' h^{-1}\gamma}^* s_\gamma$  is non-zero only if  $\nu' h^{-1}\gamma$  has the form  $\gamma\gamma'$ . This is impossible by the choice of  $\gamma$ . Therefore we have  $Q(s_\mu p_{i_0} s_\nu) Q = 0$  if  $|\mu| \neq |\nu|$ , namely  $Q\pi(\Phi(a))Q = Q\pi(a)Q$ . Hence we can finish proving (b):

$$\|\pi(\Phi(a))\| = \|Q\pi(\Phi(a))Q\| = \|Q\pi(a)Q\| \leq \|\pi(a)\|.$$

Therefore [BKR, Lemma 2.2] gives the theorem.  $\square$

By essentially the same arguments, we can prove the following.

**Corollary 4.8** *Let  $\{t_g, v_h\}$  and  $\{s_g, u_h\}$  be two families of partial isometries and unitaries satisfying (1), (2), (3) and (4). Suppose that the map  $p_i v_h p_i \mapsto q_i u_h q_i$  gives an isomorphism:*

$$C^*(p_i v_h p_i \mid h \in H) \simeq C^*(q_i u_h q_i \mid h \in H),$$

where  $p_i = \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} t_g t_g^*$ ,  $q_i = \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} s_g s_g^*$  and so on. Then the canonical map gives the isomorphism between  $C^*(t_g, v_h)$  and  $C^*(s_g, u_h)$ .

Before closing this section, we will show that our algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a certain Cuntz-Krieger-Pimsner algebra. Let  $A = C^*(P_i U_h P_i \mid h \in H, i \in I) \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_r^*(H)$ . We define a Hilbert  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  as follows:

$$X = \overline{\text{span}}\{S_g P_i \mid g \in \bigcup_{j \neq i} G_j, |g| = 1, i \in I\}$$

with respect to the inner product  $\langle S_g P_i, S_{g'} P_j \rangle = P_i S_g^* S_{g'} P_j \in A$ . In terms of the groups, the  $A$ - $A$  bimodule structure can be described as follows: we set

$$A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}[H],$$

and define an  $A$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{H}_i$  by

$$\mathcal{H}_i = \mathbb{C}[\{g \in \bigcup_{j \neq i} G_j \mid |g| = 1\}]$$

with left and right  $A$ -multiplications such that for  $a = (h_i)_{i \in I} \in A$  and  $g \in G_j \setminus H \subset \mathcal{H}_i$ ,

$$a \cdot g = h_j g \quad \text{and} \quad g \cdot a = g h_i,$$

and with respect to the inner product

$$\langle g, g' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_i} = \begin{cases} g^{-1}g' \in A_i & \text{if } g^{-1}g' \in H, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we define the  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  by

$$X = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i,$$

and we obtain the CKP-algebra  $\mathcal{O}_X$ .

**Proposition 4.9** *Assume that  $A$  and  $X$  are as above. Then*

$$\mathcal{O}_\Gamma \simeq \mathcal{O}_X.$$

*Proof.* We fix a finite basis  $u(g, i) = g \in \mathcal{H}_i$  for  $g \in \Omega_j, i \in I$  with  $j \neq i, |g| = 1$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{O}_X = C^*(S_{u(g,i)})$ . Let  $s_{u(g,i)} = S_g P_i$  in  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . Note that we have  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma = C^*(s_{u(g,i)})$ . The relation (4) corresponds to the relations ( $\dagger$ ) of the CKP-algebras. The family  $\{s_{u(g,i)}\}$  therefore satisfies the relations of the CKP-algebras. Since the CKP-algebra has universal properties, there exists a canonical surjective  $*$ -homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  onto  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . Conversely, let  $s_g = \sum_{i \in I} S_{u(g,i)}$  and  $u_h = \bigoplus_{i \in I} h$  for  $h \in H$  in  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , and then we have  $\mathcal{O}_X = C^*(s_g, u_h)$ . By the universality of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ , we can also obtain a canonical surjective  $*$ -homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  onto  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . These maps are mutual inverses. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} S_g &\mapsto \sum_{i \in I} S_{u(g,i)} &&\mapsto \sum_{i \in I} S_g P_i = S_g, \\ U_h &\mapsto \bigoplus_{i \in I} h &&\mapsto \sum_{i \in I} P_i U_h P_i = U_h. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 5 Crossed product algebras associated with $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$

In this section, we will show that  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a crossed product algebra. We first define a “boundary space”. We set

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \{ (\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0} \mid \gamma_n \in \Gamma, |\gamma_n| + 1 = |\gamma_{n+1}|, |\gamma_n^{-1} \gamma_{n+1}| = 1 \text{ for a sufficiently large } n \geq 0 \}.$$

We introduce the following equivalence relation  $\sim$ ;  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}, (\gamma'_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \tilde{\Lambda}$  are equivalent if there exists some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\gamma_n H = \gamma'_{n+k} H$  for a sufficiently large  $n$ . Then we define  $\Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda} / \sim$ . We denote the equivalent class of  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$  by  $[\gamma_n]_{n \geq 0}$ .

Before we define an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Lambda$ , we construct another space  $\Omega$  to introduce a compact space structure, on which  $\Gamma$  acts continuously. Let  $\Omega$  denote the set of sequences  $x : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Gamma$  such that

$$\begin{cases} x(n) \in \Omega_{i_n} \setminus \{e\} & \text{for } n \geq 1, \\ x(n) \in \{a_{i_n}^{\pm 1}\} & \text{if } i_n \in I \setminus I_0, \\ i_n \neq i_{n+1} & \text{if } i_n \in I_0, \\ x(n) = x(n+1) & \text{if } i_n \in I \setminus I_0, i_n = i_{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\Omega$  is a compact Hausdorff subspace of  $\prod_{\mathbb{N}}(\bigcup_i \Omega_i \setminus \{e\})$ . We introduce a map  $\phi$  between  $\Lambda$  and  $\Omega$ ; for  $x = (x(n))_{n \geq 1} \in \Omega$ , we define a map  $\phi(x) = [\gamma_n] \in \Lambda$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_0 &= e && \text{if } n = 0, \\ \gamma_n &= x(1) \cdots x(n), && \text{if } n \geq 1.\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.1** *The above map  $\phi$  is a bijection from  $\Lambda$  onto  $\Omega$  and hence  $\Lambda$  inherits a compact space structure via  $\phi$ .*

*Proof.* For  $x = (x(n)) \neq x' = (x'(n))$ , there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $x(k) \neq x'(k)$ . If  $\phi(x) = [\gamma_n]$  and  $\phi(x') = [\gamma'_n]$ , then  $\gamma_k H \neq \gamma'_k H$ . Hence we have injectivity of  $\phi$ . Next we will show surjectivity. Let  $[\gamma_n] \in \Sigma$ . We may take a representative  $(\gamma_n)$  satisfying  $|\gamma_n| = n$ . Now we assume that  $\gamma_n$  is uniquely expressed as  $\gamma_n = g_1 \cdots g_n h$ ,  $\gamma_{n+1} = g'_1 \cdots g'_{n+1} h'$  for  $g_k \in \Omega_{i_k}$ ,  $g'_k \in \Omega_{j_k}$ ,  $h, h' \in H$ . Since  $|\gamma_n^{-1} \gamma_{n+1}| = 1$ , we have

$$h^{-1} g_n^{-1} \cdots g_1^{-1} g'_1 \cdots g'_{n+1} h' = g,$$

for some  $g \notin H$  with  $|g| = 1$ . Inductively, we have  $g_1 = g'_1, \dots, g_n = g'_n$ . Hence we can assume that  $\gamma_n = g_1 \cdots g_n$ . We set  $x(n) = g_n$  and get  $\phi((x(n))) = [\gamma_n]$ .  $\square$

Next we define an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Lambda$ . Let  $[\gamma_n]_{n \geq 0} \in \Lambda$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , define

$$\gamma \cdot [\gamma_n]_{n \geq 0} = [\gamma \gamma_n]_{n \geq 0}.$$

We will show that this is a continuous action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Lambda$ . Let  $[\gamma_n], [\gamma'_n] \in \Lambda$  such that  $(\gamma_n) \sim (\gamma'_n)$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Since there exists some integer  $k$  such that  $\gamma_n H = \gamma'_{n+k} H$  for sufficiently large integers  $n$ , we have  $\gamma \gamma_n H = \gamma \gamma'_{n+k} H$ . Hence this is well-defined. To show that  $\gamma$  is continuous, we consider how  $\gamma$  acts on  $\Omega$  via the map  $\phi$ . For  $g \in \Omega_i$  with  $|g| = 1$  and  $x = (x(n))_{n \geq 1} \in \Omega$ ,

$$(g \cdot x)(1) = \begin{cases} g & \text{if } i \neq i_1, \\ g_1 & \text{if } i = i_1, gx(1) \notin H, i \in I_0, \\ & \text{and } gx(1) = g_1 h_1 (g_1 \in \Omega_{i_1}, h_1 \in H), \\ g & \text{if } i = i_1, gx(1) \notin H, i \in I \setminus I_0, \\ g_2 & \text{if } i = i_1, gx(1) \in H, i \in I_0, \\ & \text{and } gx(1) = h_1, h_1 x(2) = g_2 h_2 (g_2 \in \Omega_{i_2}, h_1, h_2 \in H), \\ x(2) & \text{if } i = i_1, gx(1) \in H, i \in I \setminus I_0, \end{cases}$$

and for  $n > 1$ ,

$$(g \cdot x)(n) = \begin{cases} x(n-1) & \text{if } i \neq i_1, \\ g_n & \text{if } i = i_1, gx(1) \notin H, \\ & \text{and } h_{n-1} x(n) = g_n h_n (g_n \in \Omega_{i_n}, h_n \in H), \\ x(n-1) & \text{if } i = i_1, gx(1) \notin H, i \in I \setminus I_0, \\ g_{n+1} & \text{if } i = i_1, gx(1) \in H, \\ & \text{and } h_n x(n+1) = g_{n+1} h_{n+1}, (g_{n+1} \in \Omega_{i_{n+1}}, h_{n+1} \in H), \\ x(n+1) & \text{if } i = i_1, gx(1) \in H, i \in I \setminus I_0. \end{cases}$$

For  $h \in H$ ,

$$(h \cdot x)(n) = \begin{cases} g_1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ & \text{and } hx(1) = g_1 h_1, (g_1 \in \Omega_{i_1}, h_n \in H), \\ g_n & \text{if } n > 1, \\ & \text{and } h_{n-1}x(n) = g_n h_n, (g_n \in \Omega_{i_n}, h_n \in H). \end{cases}$$

Then one can check easily that the pull-back of any open set of  $\Omega$  by  $\gamma$  is also an open set of  $\Omega$ . Thus we have proved that  $\gamma$  is a homeomorphism on  $\Lambda$ . The equations

$$(\gamma\gamma')[\gamma_n] = [\gamma\gamma'\gamma_n] = \gamma([\gamma'\gamma_n]) = \gamma \circ \gamma'[\gamma_n],$$

imply associativity.

Therefore we have obtained the following:

**Lemma 5.2** *The above space  $\Omega$  is a compact Hausdorff space and  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\Omega$  continuously.*

The following result is the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 5.3** *Assume that  $\Omega$  and the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Omega$  are as above. Then we have the identifications*

$$\mathcal{O}_\Gamma \simeq C(\Omega) \rtimes \Gamma \simeq C(\Omega) \rtimes_r \Gamma.$$

*Proof.* We first consider the full crossed product  $C(\Omega) \rtimes \Gamma$ . Let  $Y_i = \{(x(n)) \mid x(1) \in \Omega_i\} \subset \Omega$  be clopen sets for  $i \in I$ . Note that if  $i \in I_0$ , then  $Y_i$  is the disjoint union of the clopen sets  $\{g(\Omega \setminus Y_i) \mid g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}\}$ , and if  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ , then  $Y_i = Y_i^+ \cup Y_i^-$  where  $Y_i^\pm = \{(x(n)) \mid x(1) = a_i^\pm\}$ . Let  $p_i = \chi_{\Omega \setminus Y_i}$  and  $p_i^\pm = \chi_{Y_i^\pm}$ . We define  $T_g = gp_i$  for  $g \in G_i \setminus H$  and  $i \in I_0$  and  $T_{a_i^\pm} = a_i^{\pm 1}(p_i + p_i^\pm)$  for  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ . Let  $V_h = h$  for  $h \in H$ . Then the family  $\{T_g, V_h\}$  satisfies the relations (1), (2), (3) and (4). Indeed, we can first check that  $h \in H$  commutes with  $p_i$  and  $p_i^\pm$ . So the relation (1) holds. Let  $g \in G_i \setminus H$  and  $g' \in G_j \setminus H$  with  $i, j \in I_0$ . Then

$$T_g^* T_{g'} = p_i g^{-1} g' p_j = g^{-1} \chi_{g(\Omega \setminus Y_i)} \chi_{g'(\Omega \setminus Y_j)} g' = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{gH, g'H} p_i g^{-1} g'.$$

Moreover it follows from  $\Omega \setminus Y_i = \bigcup_{j \neq i} Y_j$  that

$$\begin{aligned} T_g^* T_g &= \chi_{\Omega \setminus Y_i} = \sum_{j \neq i} \chi_{Y_j} \\ &= \sum_{j \in I_0, j \neq i} \sum_{g \in \Omega_j \setminus \{e\}} \chi_{g(\Omega \setminus Y_j)} + \sum_{j \in I \setminus I_0} \chi_{a_j(\Omega \setminus Y_j)} + \chi_{a_j^{-1}(\Omega \setminus Y_j)} \\ &= \sum_{j \in I_0, j \neq i} \sum_{g \in \Omega_j \setminus \{e\}} g p_j g^{-1} + \sum_{j \in I \setminus I_0} p_j^+ + p_j^- \\ &= \sum_{j \in I_0, j \neq i} \sum_{g \in \Omega_j \setminus \{e\}} T_g T_g^* + \sum_{j \in I \setminus I_0} T_{a_j} T_{a_j}^* + T_{a_j^{-1}} T_{a_j^{-1}}^*. \end{aligned}$$

For all other cases, we can also check the relations (2) and (3) by similar calculations. Since  $\Omega$  is the disjoint union of  $Y_i$ , we have (4). Note that  $g, p_i, p_i^\pm \in C^*(T_g, V_h)$ . Moreover, since the family  $\{\gamma(\Omega \setminus Y_i) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma, i \in I\} \cup \{\gamma Y_i^\pm \mid \gamma \in \Gamma, i \in I \setminus I_0\}$  generates the topology of  $\Omega$ , we have  $C(\Omega) \rtimes \Gamma = C^*(T_g, V_h)$ . By the universality of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ , there exists a canonical surjective  $*$ -homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  onto  $C(\Omega) \rtimes \Gamma$ , sending  $S_g$  to  $T_g$  and  $U_h$  to  $V_h$ .

Conversely, let  $q_i = \sum_{j \neq i} P_j$  and  $q_i^\pm = S_{a_i^{\pm 1}} S_{a_i^{\pm 1}}^*$ . Let

$$\begin{cases} w_g = S_g + \sum_{g' \in \Omega_i \setminus H \cup g^{-1}H} S_{gg'} S_{g'}^* + S_g^* & \text{for } g \in G_i \setminus H, i \in I_0, \\ w_{a_i} = S_{a_i} + S_{a_i^{-1}}^* & \text{for } i \in I \setminus I_0, \\ w_h = U_h & \text{for } h \in H. \end{cases}$$

We will check that  $w_g$  are unitaries for  $g \in G_i \setminus H$  with  $i \in I_0$ . If  $g' \in \Omega_i \setminus H \cup g^{-1}H$ , then  $gg'H = \gamma H$  for some  $\gamma \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e, g\}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} w_g w_g^* &= \left( S_g + \sum_{g' \in \Omega_i \setminus H \cup g^{-1}H} S_{gg'} S_{g'}^* + S_{g^{-1}}^* \right) \left( S_g + \sum_{g' \in \Omega_i \setminus H \cup g^{-1}H} S_{gg'} S_{g'}^* + S_{g^{-1}}^* \right)^* \\ &= S_g S_g^* + \sum_{g' \in \Omega_i \setminus H \cup g^{-1}H} S_{gg'} S_{g'}^* S_{g'} S_{gg'}^* + S_{g^{-1}}^* S_{g^{-1}} \\ &= P_g + \sum_{g' \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e, g\}} P_{g'} + Q_g = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have  $w_g^* w_g = 1$ . For the other case, we can check in the same way.

If  $i \in I_0, \tau \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g \in \Omega_i} w_g q_i w_g^* &= \sum_{g \in \Omega_i} \left( S_g + \sum_{g' \in \Omega_i \setminus H \cup g^{-1}H} S_{gg'} S_{g'}^* + S_{g^{-1}}^* \right) S_\tau^* S_\tau w_g^* \\ &= \sum_{g \in \Omega_i} S_g S_\tau^* S_\tau \left( S_g^* + \sum_{g' \in \Omega_i \setminus H \cup g^{-1}H} S_g S_{gg'}^* + S_{g^{-1}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{g \in \Omega_i} S_g S_\tau^* S_\tau S_g^* = 1. \end{aligned}$$

For  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ , we have  $q_i^+ + w_{a_i} q_i^- w_{a_i}^* = 1$  and  $q_i^+ + q_i^- + q_i = 1$  as well. Therefore the conjugates of the family  $\{q_i, q_i^\pm\}$  by the elements of  $\Gamma$  generate a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra. This is the image of a representation of  $C(\Omega)$ . Therefore  $(q_i, w)$  gives a covariant

representation of the  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(C(\Omega), \Gamma)$ . Note that  $(q_i, w_g)$  generates  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . Hence by the universality of the full crossed product  $C(\Omega) \rtimes \Gamma$ , there exists a canonical surjective  $*$ -homomorphism of  $C(\Omega) \rtimes \Gamma$  onto  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . It is easy to show that the above two  $*$ -homomorphisms are the inverses of each other.

$$\begin{array}{rccc} S_g & \mapsto & gp_i & \mapsto & w_g Q_g = S_g, \\ S_{a_i^{\pm 1}} & \mapsto & a_i^{\pm 1}(p_i + p_i^\pm) & \mapsto & w_{a_i^{\pm 1}}(Q_{a_i^{\pm 1}} + P_{a_i^{\pm 1}}) = S_{a_i^{\pm 1}}, \\ U_h & \mapsto & h & \mapsto & U_h. \end{array}$$

We have shown the identification  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma \simeq C(\Omega) \rtimes \Gamma$ . Since there exists a canonical surjective map of  $C(\Omega) \rtimes \Gamma$  onto  $C(\Omega) \rtimes_r \Gamma$ , we have a surjective  $*$ -homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  onto  $C(\Omega) \rtimes_r \Gamma$ . Let  $C(\Omega) \rtimes_r \Gamma = C^*(\tilde{\pi}(p_i), \lambda)$  where  $\tilde{\pi}$  is the induced representation on the Hilbert space  $l^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$  by the universal representation  $\pi$  of  $C(\Omega)$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\lambda$  is the unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on  $l^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$  such that  $(\lambda_s x)(t) = x(s^{-1}t)$  for  $x \in l^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$ . By the uniqueness theorem for  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ , it suffices to check

$$C^*(\tilde{\pi}(\chi_{Y_i})\lambda_h\tilde{\pi}(\chi_{Y_i})) \simeq C^*(H).$$

But the unitary representation  $\tilde{\pi}(\chi_{Y_i})\lambda_h\tilde{\pi}(\chi_{Y_i})$  is quasi-equivalent to the left regular representation of  $H$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

In [Ser], Serre defined the tree  $G_T$ , on which  $\Gamma$  acts. In an appendix, we will give the definition of the tree  $G_T = (V, E)$  where  $V$  is the set of vertices and  $E$  is the set of edges. We denote the corresponding natural boundary by  $\partial G_T$ . We also show how to construct boundaries of trees in the appendix. (See Furstenberg [Fur] and Freudenthal [Fre] for details.)

**Proposition 5.4** *The space  $\partial G_T$  is homeomorphic to  $\Omega$  and the above two actions of  $\Gamma$  on  $\partial G_T$  and  $\Omega$  are conjugate.*

*Proof.* We define a map  $\psi$  from  $\partial G_T$  to  $\Omega$ . First we assume that  $I = \{1, 2\}$ . The corresponding tree  $G_T$  consists of the vertex set  $V = \Gamma/G_1 \coprod \Gamma/G_2$  and the edge set  $E = \Gamma/H$ . For  $\omega \in \partial G_T$ , we can identify  $\omega$  with an infinite chain  $\{G_{i_1}, g_1 G_{i_2}, g_1 g_2 G_{i_3}, \dots\}$  with  $g_k \in \Omega_{i_k} \setminus \{e\}$  and  $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots$ . Then we define  $\psi(\omega) = [x(n) = g_{i_n}]$ . We will recall the definition of the corresponding tree  $G_T$ , in general, on the appendix, (see [Ser]). Similarly, we can identify  $\omega \in \partial G_T$  with an infinite chain  $\{G_0, G_{i_1}, g_1 G_0, g_1 G_{i_2}, g_1 g_2 G_0, \dots\}$ . Moreover we may ignore vertices  $\gamma G_0$  for an infinite chain  $\omega$ ,

$$\{G_0, G_{i_1}, (g_1 G_0 \rightarrow \text{ignoring}), g_1 G_{i_2}, (g_1 g_2 G_0 \rightarrow \text{ignoring}), g_1 g_2 G_{i_3}, \dots\}.$$

Therefore, we define a map  $\psi$  of  $\partial G_T$  to  $\Omega$  by

$$\psi(\omega) = [x(n) = g_n].$$

The pull-back by  $\psi$  of any open set of  $\partial G_T$  is an open set on  $\Omega$ . It follows that  $\psi$  is a homeomorphism. The two actions on  $\partial G_T$  and  $\Omega$  are defined by left multiplication. So it immediately follows that these actions are conjugate.  $\square$

It is known that  $\Gamma$  is a hyperbolic group (see a proof in the appendix, where we recall the notion of hyperbolicity for finitely generated groups as introduced by Gromov e.g. see [GH]). Let  $S = \{\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i\}$  and  $G(\Gamma, S)$  be the Cayley graph of  $\Gamma$  with the word metric  $d$ . Let  $\partial\Gamma$  be the hyperbolic boundary.

**Proposition 5.5** *The hyperbolic boundary  $\partial\Gamma$  is homeomorphic to  $\Omega$  and the actions of  $\Gamma$  are conjugate.*

*Proof.* We can define a map  $\psi$  from  $\Omega$  to  $\partial\Gamma$  by  $(x(n)) \mapsto [x_n = x(1) \cdots x(n)]$ . Indeed, since  $\langle x_n | x_m \rangle = \min\{n, m\} \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ ), it is well-defined. For  $x \neq y$  in  $\Omega$ , there exists  $k$  such that  $x(k) \neq y(k)$ . Then  $\langle \psi(x) | \psi(y) \rangle \leq k + 1$ , which shows injectivity. Let  $(x_n) \in \partial\Gamma$ . Suppose that  $x_n = g_{n(1)} \cdots g_{n(k_n)} h_n$  for some  $g_l \in \bigcup_i \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}$  with  $n(1) \neq \cdots \neq n(k_n)$ . If  $g_{n(1)} = g_{m(1)}, \dots, g_{n(l)} = g_{m(l)}$  and  $g_{n(l+1)} \neq g_{m(l+1)}$ , then we set  $a_{n,m} = g_{n(1)} \cdots g_{n(l)} = g_{m(1)} \cdots g_{m(l)}$ . So we have

$$\langle x_n | x_m \rangle \leq d(e, a_{n,m}) + 1 \rightarrow \infty \quad (n, m \rightarrow \infty).$$

Therefore we can choose sequences  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ , and  $m_1 < m_2 < \dots$ , such that  $a_{n_k, m_k}$  is a sub-word of  $a_{n_{k+1}, m_{k+1}}$ . Then a sequence  $\{g_{n_k(1)}, \dots, g_{n_k(l)}, g_{n_{k+1}(l+1)}, \dots\}$  is mapped to  $(x_n)$  by  $\psi$ . We have proved that  $\psi$  is surjective. The pull-back of any open set in  $\partial\Gamma$  is an open set in  $\Omega$ . So  $\psi$  is continuous. Since  $\Omega, \partial\Gamma$  are compact Hausdorff spaces,  $\psi$  is a homeomorphism. Again, the two actions on  $\Omega$  and  $\partial\Gamma$  are defined by left multiplication and hence are conjugate.  $\square$

**Remark** Since the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\partial\Gamma$  depends only on the group structure of  $\Gamma$  in [GH], the above proposition shows that  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  is, up to isomorphism, independent of the choice of generators of  $\Gamma$ .

## 6 Nuclearity, simplicity and pure infiniteness of $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$

We first begin by reviewing the crossed product  $B \rtimes \mathbb{N}$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  by a  $*$ -endomorphism; this construction was first introduced by Cuntz [C1] to describe the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$  as the crossed product of UHF algebras by  $*$ -endomorphisms. See Stacey's paper [Sta] for a more detailed discussion. Suppose that  $\rho$  is an injective  $*$ -endomorphism on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ . Let  $\overline{B}$  be the inductive limit  $\varinjlim(B \xrightarrow{\rho} B)$  with the corresponding injective homomorphisms  $\sigma_n : B \rightarrow \overline{B}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Let  $p$  be the projection  $\sigma_0(1)$ . There exists an automorphism  $\bar{\rho}$  given by  $\bar{\rho} \circ \sigma_n = \sigma_n \circ \rho$  with inverse  $\sigma_n(b) \mapsto \sigma_{n+1}(b)$ . Then the crossed product  $B \rtimes_\rho \mathbb{N}$  is defined to be the hereditary  $C^*$ -algebra  $p(\overline{B} \rtimes_{\bar{\rho}} \mathbb{Z})p$ .

The map  $\sigma_0$  induces an embedding of  $B$  into  $\overline{B}$ . Therefore the canonical embedding of  $\overline{B}$  into  $\overline{B} \rtimes_{\bar{\rho}} \mathbb{Z}$  gives an embedding  $\pi : B \rightarrow B \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover the compression by  $p$  of the implementing unitary is an isometry  $V$  belonging to  $B \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{N}$  satisfying

$$V\pi(b)V^* = \pi(\rho(b)).$$

In fact,  $B \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{N}$  is also the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by a copy  $\pi(B)$  of  $B$  and an isometry  $V$  satisfying the above relation. If  $B$  is nuclear, then so is  $B \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{N}$ .

### Proposition 6.1

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{N}$$

*In particular,  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}$  is nuclear.*

*Proof.* We fix  $g_i \in G_i \setminus H$  for all  $i \in I$ . We can choose projections  $e_i$  which are sums of projections  $P_g$  such that  $e_i \leq Q_{g_i}$  and  $\sum_{i \in I} e_i = 1$ . Then  $V = \sum_{i \in I} S_{g_i} e_i$  is an isometry in  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}$ .

We claim that  $V\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}}V^* \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} = C^*(\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}}, V)$ . Let  $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}}$ . It is obvious that  $VaV^* \in \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $C^*(\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}}, V) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}$ . To show the second claim, it suffices to check that  $S_{\mu}P_iS_{\nu}^* \in \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}$  for all  $\mu, \nu$  and  $i$ . If  $|\mu| = |\nu|$ , we have  $S_{\mu}P_iS_{\nu}^* \in \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}}$ . If  $|\mu| \neq |\nu|$ , then we may assume  $|\mu| < |\nu|$ . Let  $|\nu| - |\mu| = k$ . Thus  $S_{\mu}P_iS_{\nu}^* = (V^*)^k V^k S_{\mu}P_iS_{\nu}^*$  and  $V^k S_{\mu}P_iS_{\nu}^* \in \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}}$ . This proves our claim.

We define a \*-endomorphism  $\rho$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}}$  by  $\rho(a) = VaV^*$  for  $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}}$ . Thanks to the universality of the crossed product  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain a canonical surjective \*-homomorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{N}$  onto  $C^*(\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}}, V)$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{N}$  has the universal property, there also exists a gauge action  $\beta$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\Psi$  be the corresponding canonical conditional expectation of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{N}$  onto  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}}$ . Suppose that  $a \in \ker \sigma$ . Then  $\sigma(a^*a) = 0$ . Since  $\alpha \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \beta$ , we have  $\sigma \circ \Psi(a^*a) = 0$ . The injectivity of  $\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}}$  implies  $\Psi(a^*a) = 0$  and hence  $a^*a = 0$  and  $a = 0$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{T}} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

In section 2, we reviewed the notion of amenability for discrete group actions. The following is a special case of [Ada].

**Corollary 6.2** *The action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\partial\Gamma$  is amenable.*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.2 and the above proposition.  $\square$

We also have a partial result of [Kir], [D1], [D2] and [DS].

**Corollary 6.3** *The reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\Gamma)$  is exact.*

*Proof.* It is well-known that every  $C^*$ -subalgebra of an exact  $C^*$ -algebra is exact; see Wassermann's monograph [Was]. Therefore the inclusion  $C_r^*(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}$  implies exactness.  $\square$

Finally we give a sufficient condition for the simplicity and pure infiniteness of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}$ .

**Corollary 6.4** Suppose that  $\Gamma = *_H G_i$  satisfies the following condition:

There exists at least one element  $j \in I$  such that

$$\bigcap_{i \neq j} N_i = \{e\},$$

where  $N_i = \bigcap_{g \in G_i} gHg^{-1}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  is simple and purely infinite.

*Proof.* We first claim that for any  $\mu \in \Delta$  and  $|g| = 1$  with  $|\mu g| = |\mu| + 1$ ,

$$\mu H \mu^{-1} \cap H \supseteq \mu g H g^{-1} \mu^{-1} \cap H.$$

Suppose that  $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_n$  such that  $\mu_k \in \Omega_{i_k}$  with  $\mu_1 \neq \cdots \neq \mu_n$  and  $g \in G_i$  with  $i \neq i_n$ . We first assume that  $\mu = \mu_1$ . If  $\mu g h g^{-1} \mu^{-1} \in \mu g H g^{-1} \mu^{-1} \cap H$ , then  $ghg^{-1} \in \mu^{-1} H \mu \subseteq G_{i_1}$ . Thus  $ghg^{-1} \in G_i \cap G_{i_1}$  implies  $ghg^{-1} \in H$ . Next we assume that  $|\mu| > 1$ . If  $\mu g h g^{-1} \mu^{-1} \in \mu g H g^{-1} \mu^{-1} \cap H$ , then

$$\mu_2 \cdots \mu_n g h g^{-1} \mu_k^{-1} \cdots \mu_2^{-1} \in \mu_1^{-1} H \mu_1 \subseteq G_{i_1}.$$

Thus  $|\mu_2 \cdots \mu_n g h g^{-1} \mu_k^{-1} \cdots \mu_2^{-1}| \leq 1$  implies  $ghg^{-1} \in H$ . This proves the claim.

Let  $\{S_g, U_h\}$  be any family satisfying the relations (1), (2), (3) and (4). By the uniqueness theorem, it is enough to show that  $C^*(P_i U_h P_i \mid h \in H) \simeq C^*(H)$  for any  $i \in I$ . We next claim that there exists  $\nu \in \Gamma$  such that the initial letter of  $\nu$  belongs to  $\Omega_i$  and  $\{U_h S_\nu\}_{h \in H}$  have mutually orthogonal ranges.

Let  $g \in \Omega_i$ . If  $g H g^{-1} \cap H = \{e\}$ , then it is enough to set  $\nu = g$ . Now suppose that there exists some  $h \in g H g^{-1} \cap H$  with  $h \neq e$ . We first assume that  $i = j$ . By the hypothesis, there exists some  $i_1 \in I$  such that  $g^{-1} h g \notin N_{i_1}$  and  $i \neq i_1$ . Hence there exists  $g_1 \in \Omega_{i_1}$  such that  $g^{-1} h g \notin g_1 H g_1^{-1}$  and so  $h \notin g g_1 H g_1^{-1} g^{-1}$ . If  $g g_1 H g_1^{-1} g^{-1} \cap H = \{e\}$ , then it is enough to put  $\nu = g g_1$ . If not, we set  $\gamma_1 = g_1 g'_1$  for some  $g'_1 \in \Omega_j$ . By the first part of the proof, we have

$$g H g^{-1} \cap H \supsetneq \mu \gamma_1 H \gamma_1^{-1} \mu^{-1} \cap H.$$

Since  $H$  is finite, we can inductively obtain  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$  satisfying

$$g H g^{-1} \cap H \supsetneq g \gamma_1 H \gamma_1^{-1} g^{-1} \cap H \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq g \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n H \gamma_n^{-1} \cdots \gamma_1^{-1} g^{-1} \cap H = \{e\}.$$

Then we set  $\nu = g \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n$ . If  $i \neq j$ , we can carry out the same arguments by replacing  $g$  by  $\gamma = g g_j$  for some  $g_j \in \Omega_j$ . Hence from the identification  $U_h S_\nu \leftrightarrow \delta_h \in l^2(H)$ , it follows that the unitary representation  $P_i U_h P_i$  is quasi-equivalent to the left regular representation of  $H$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  is simple.

In Section 5, we have proved that  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma \simeq C(\Omega) \rtimes_r \Gamma$ . We show that the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Omega$  is the strong boundary action (see Preliminaries). Let  $U, V$  be any non-empty open

sets in  $\Omega$ . There exists some open set  $O = \{(x(n)) \in \Omega \mid x(1) = g_1, \dots, x(k) = g_k\}$  which is contained in  $V$ . We may also assume that  $U^c$  is an open of the form  $\{(x(n)) \in \Omega \mid x(1) = \gamma_1, \dots, x(m) = \gamma_m\}$ . Let  $\gamma = g_1 \cdots g_k \gamma_m^{-1} \cdots \gamma_1^{-1}$ . Then we have  $\gamma U^c \subset O \subset V$ . Since  $C(\Omega) \rtimes_r \Gamma$  is simple, it follows from [AS] that the action of  $\Gamma$  is topological free. Therefore it follows from Theorem 2.4 that  $C(\Omega) \rtimes_r \Gamma$ , namely  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ , is purely infinite.  $\square$

**Remark** We gave a sufficient condition for  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  to be simple. However, we can completely determine the ideal structure of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  with further effort. Indeed, we will obtain a matrix  $A_\Gamma$  to compute K-groups of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  in the next section. The same argument as in [C2] also works for the ideal structure of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . For Cuntz-Krieger algebras, we need to assume that corresponding matrices have the condition (II) of [C2] to apply the uniqueness theorem. Since we have another uniqueness theorem for our algebras, we can always apply the ideal structure theorem.

Let  $\Sigma = I \times \{1, \dots, r\}$  be a finite set, where  $r$  is the number of all irreducible unitary representations of  $H$ . For  $x, y \in \Sigma$ , we define  $x \geq y$  if there exists a sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  of elements in  $\Sigma$  such that  $x_1 = x, x_m = y$  and  $A_\Gamma(x_a, x_{a+1}) \neq 0$  ( $a = 1, \dots, m-1$ ). We call  $x$  and  $y$  equivalent if  $x \geq y \geq x$  and write  $\Gamma_{A_\Gamma}$  for the partially ordered set of equivalence classes of elements  $x$  in  $\Sigma$  for which  $x \geq x$ . A subset  $K$  of  $\Gamma_{A_\Gamma}$  is called hereditary if  $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_1 \in K$  implies  $\gamma_2 \in K$ . Let

$$\Sigma(K) = \{x \in \Sigma \mid x_1 \geq x \geq x_2 \text{ for some } x_1, x_2 \in \bigcup_{\gamma \in K} \gamma\}.$$

We denote by  $I_K$  the closed ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  generated by projections  $P(i, k)$ , which is defined in the next section, for all  $(i, k) \in \Sigma(K)$ .

**Theorem 6.5 ([C2, Theorem 2.5.])** *The map  $K \mapsto I_K$  is an inclusion preserving bijection of the set of hereditary subsets of  $\Gamma_{A_\Gamma}$  onto the set of closed ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ .*

## 7 K-theory for $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$

In this section we give explicit formulae of the  $K$ -groups of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . We have described  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  as the crossed product  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\mathbb{T} \rtimes \mathbb{N}$  in Section 6. So to apply the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence [PV], we need to compute the  $K$ -groups of the AF-algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\mathbb{T}$ . We assume that each  $G_i$  is finite for simplicity throughout this section. We can also compute the  $K$ -groups for general cases by essentially the same arguments. Recall that the fixed-point algebra is described as follows:

$$\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\mathbb{T} = \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_n},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_n^i.$$

For each  $n$ , we consider a direct summand of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , which is

$$\mathcal{F}_n^i = C^*(S_\mu P_i U_h P_i S_\nu^* \mid h \in H, |\mu| = |\nu| = n),$$

and the embedding  $\mathcal{F}_n^i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & S_\mu P_i U_h P_i S_\nu^* \\ &= \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} S_\mu U_h (S_g Q_g S_g^*) S_\nu^* \\ &= \sum_g \sum_{i' \neq i} S_\mu S_{hg} P_{i'} S_{\nu g}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r\}$  be the set of characters corresponding with all irreducible unitary representations of the finite group  $H$  with degrees  $n_1, \dots, n_r$ . Then we have the identification  $C^*(H) \simeq M_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{n_r}(\mathbb{C})$ . We can write a unit  $p_k$  of the  $k$ -th component  $M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$  of  $C^*(H)$  as follows:

$$p_k = \frac{n_k}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \overline{\chi_k(h)} U_h.$$

Suppose that for  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_n^i &\simeq M_{N(n,i)}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C^*(H), \\ \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^j &\simeq M_{N(n+1,j)}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C^*(H). \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute each embedding of  $\mathcal{F}_n^i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^j$ ,

$$M_{N(n,i)}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow M_{N(n+1,j)}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$$

at the  $K$ -theory level.  $P(i, k)$  denotes  $P_i p_k P_i$ . Let  $P$  be the projection  $e \otimes 1$  in  $M_{N(n,i)}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$  given by

$$P = S_\mu P(i, k) S_\mu^* \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \Delta_n,$$

where  $e$  is a minimal projection in the matrix algebras, and  $Q$  be the unit of  $M_{N(n+1,j)}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{n_l}(\mathbb{C})$  given by

$$Q = \sum_{\nu \in \Delta_{n+1}} S_\nu P(j, l) S_\nu^*.$$

At the  $K$ -theory level, we have  $[P] = n_k[e]$ . Hence it suffices to compute  $\text{tr}(PQ)/n_k$ , where  $\text{tr}$  is the canonical trace in the matrix algebras.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\text{tr}(PQ)}{n_k} &= \text{tr} \left( \frac{1}{n_k} (S_\mu P(i, k) S_\mu^*) \left( \sum_{\nu \in \Delta_{n+1}} S_\nu P(j, l) S_\nu^* \right) \right) \\
&= \text{tr} \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \left( \sum_{h \in H} \overline{\chi_k(h)} S_\mu U_h P_i S_\mu^* \right) \left( \sum_{\nu \in \Delta_{n+1}} S_\nu P(j, l) S_\nu^* \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|H|} \text{tr} \left( \sum_{h \in H} \overline{\chi_k(h)} \left( \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \sum_{i' \neq i} S_\mu S_{hg} P_{i'} S_{\mu g}^* \right) \left( \sum_{\nu \in \Delta_{n+1}} S_\nu P(j, l) S_\nu^* \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|H|} \text{tr} \left( \sum_{h \in H} \overline{\chi_k(h)} \left( \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} S_\mu S_{hg} P(j, l) S_{\mu g}^* \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \sum_{h \in H(g)} \overline{\chi_k(h)} \text{tr} (S_{\mu g} U_{g^{-1}hg} P(j, l) S_{\mu g}^*) \\
&= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \sum_{h \in H(g)} \overline{\chi_k(h)} \chi_l(g^{-1}hg),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $H(g)$  is the stabilizer of  $gH$  by the left multiplication of  $H$ .

Now fix  $x \in X_i \setminus \{e\}$ . Let  $\{g \in \Omega_i \mid HgH = HxH\} = \{g_0 = x, g_1, \dots, g_{m-1}\}$ . Then there exists  $h_1, h'_1, \dots, h_{m-1}, h'_{m-1} \in H$  such that  $h_1x = g_1h'_1, \dots, h_{m-1}x = g_{m-1}h'_{m-1}$ . Note that  $h_s H(x) h_s^{-1} = H(g_s)$  for  $s = 1, \dots, m-1$ . Since  $\chi_k, \chi_l$  are class functions, we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\text{tr}(PQ)}{n_k} &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in X_i} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \sum_{h \in H(x)} \overline{\chi_k(h_s h h_s^{-1})} \chi_l(h'_s x^{-1} h_s^{-1} \cdot h_s h h_s^{-1} \cdot h_s x h_s'^{-1}) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in X_i} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \sum_{h \in H(x)} \overline{\chi_k(h_s h h_s^{-1})} \chi_l(h'_s x^{-1} h x h_s'^{-1}) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in X_i} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \sum_{h \in H(x)} \overline{\chi_k(h)} \chi_l(x^{-1} h x) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in X_i} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \sum_{h \in H(x)} \overline{\chi_k(h)} \chi_l^x(h) \right) \\
&= \sum_{x \in X_i} \left( \frac{|H(x)|}{|H|} \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \langle \chi_k, \chi_l^x \rangle_{H(x)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{x \in X_i} \langle \chi_k, \chi_l^x \rangle_{H(x)},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_l^x(h) &= \chi_l(x^{-1} h x) \\
\langle \chi_k, \chi_l^x \rangle_{H(x)} &= \frac{1}{|H(x)|} \sum_{h \in H(x)} \overline{\chi_k(h)} \chi_l^x(h).
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $A_\Gamma((j, l), (i, k)) = \sum_{x \in X_i \setminus \{e\}} \langle \chi_k, \chi_l^x \rangle_{H(x)}$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $A_\Gamma((i, k), (i, l)) = 0$  for  $1 \leq k, l \leq r$ . Then we describe the embedding  $\mathcal{F}_n^i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^j$  at the  $K$ -theory level by the matrix  $[A_\Gamma((i, k), (j, l))]_{1 \leq k, l \leq r}$ . Let  $A_\Gamma = [A_\Gamma((i, k), (j, l))]$ . We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.1**

$$\begin{aligned}
K_0(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\mathbb{T}) &= \varinjlim \left( \mathbb{Z}^N \xrightarrow{A_\Gamma} \mathbb{Z}^N \right) \\
K_1(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\mathbb{T}) &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

where  $N = |I|r$ .

We can compute the  $K$ -groups of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  by using the Pimsner-Voiculescu sequence with essentially the same argument as in the Cuntz-Krieger algebra case (see [C2]).

**Theorem 7.2**

$$\begin{aligned}
K_0(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma) &= \mathbb{Z}^N / (1 - A_\Gamma) \mathbb{Z}^N. \\
K_1(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma) &= \text{Ker}\{1 - A_\Gamma : \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N\} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{Z}^N.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to compute the  $K$ -groups of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\gamma = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{T}} \rtimes_{\bar{\rho}} \mathbb{Z}$ . We represent the inductive limit

$$\varinjlim \left( \mathbb{Z}^N \xrightarrow{A_\Gamma} \mathbb{Z}^N \right)$$

as the set of equivalence classes of  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  such that  $x_k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  with  $x_{k+1} = A(x_k)$ . If  $S$  is a partial isometry in  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  such that  $\alpha_z(S) = zS$  and  $P$  is a projection in  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{T}}$  with  $P \leq S^*S$ , then  $[\rho(P)] = [V P V^*] = [(V S^* S) P (V S^* S)^*] = [S P S^*]$  in  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{T}})$ . Recall that

$$p_k = \frac{n_k}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \overline{\chi_k(h)} U_h.$$

Let  $P = S_\mu P(i, k) S_\mu^*$  for some  $\mu \in \Delta_n$ . If  $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & [\bar{\rho}^{-1}(P)] \\ &= [S_{\mu_1}^* P S_{\mu_1}] \\ &= [\frac{n_k}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \overline{\chi_k(h)} (S_{\mu_2} \cdots S_{\mu_n} P_i U_h P_i S_{\mu_n} \cdots S_{\mu_2}^*)] \\ &= \cdots \\ &= \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{l=1}^r n_i \left( \sum_{x \in X_i \setminus \{e\}} \langle \chi_k, \chi_l^x \rangle [e_l] \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the  $e_l$  are non-zero minimal projections for  $1 \leq l \leq r$ . Thus it follows that  $\bar{\rho}_*^{-1}$  is the shift on  $K_0(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{T}})$ . We denote the shift by  $\sigma$ . If  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in K_0(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{T}})$ , then  $\sigma(x) = (x_2, x_3, \dots)$ . By the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\Gamma) \rightarrow K_0(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{T}}) \rightarrow K_0(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{T}}) \rightarrow K_0(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\Gamma) \rightarrow 0.$$

It therefore follows that  $K_0(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\Gamma) = K_0(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{T}})/(1 - \sigma)K_0(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{T}})$  and  $K_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\Gamma) = \ker(1 - \sigma)$  on  $K_0(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{T}})$ .  $\square$

Finally we consider some simple examples. First let  $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_4 *_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_6$ . Let  $\chi_1$  be the unit character of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and let  $\chi_2$  be the character such that  $\chi_2(a) = -1$  where  $a$  is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . These are one-dimensional and exhaust all the irreducible characters. Then we have the corresponding matrix

$$A_\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the corresponding  $K$ -groups are  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma) = 0$  and  $K_1(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma) = 0$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}_4 *_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_6} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3} \simeq \mathcal{O}_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2$ .

Next let  $\Gamma = \mathfrak{S}_4 *_{\mathfrak{S}_3} \mathfrak{S}_4$ ,  $\tau = (1\ 2)$  and  $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3)$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{S}_3 = \langle 1, \tau, \sigma \rangle$ .  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  has three irreducible characters:

|          | 1 | $\tau$ | $\sigma$ |
|----------|---|--------|----------|
| $\chi_1$ | 1 | 1      | 1        |
| $\chi_2$ | 1 | -1     | 1        |
| $\chi_3$ | 2 | 0      | -1       |

Moreover,  $\mathfrak{S}_3 \backslash \mathfrak{S}_4 / \mathfrak{S}_3$  has only two points; say  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_3 x \mathfrak{S}_3$  with  $x = (1\ 2)(3\ 4)$ . Then we obtain the corresponding matrix

$$A_\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence this gives  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$  and  $K_1(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}$ . In this case,  $\Gamma$  satisfies the condition of Theorem 6.3. So  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  is a simple, nuclear, purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebra.

## 8 KMS states on $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$

In this section, we investigate the relationship between KMS states on  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  for generalized gauge actions and random walks on  $\Gamma$ . Throughout this section, we assume that all groups  $G_i$  are finite though we can carry out the same arguments if  $G_i = \mathbb{Z} \times H$  for some  $i \in I$ . Let  $\omega = (\omega_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{|I|}$ . By the universality of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ , we can define an automorphism  $\alpha_t^\omega$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  by  $\alpha_t^\omega(S_g) = e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega_i t} S_g$  for  $g \in G_i \setminus H$  and  $\alpha_t^\omega(U_h) = U_h$  for  $h \in H$ . Hence we obtain the  $\mathbb{R}$ -action  $\alpha^\omega$  on  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . We call it *the generalized gauge action* with respect to  $\omega$ . We will only consider actions of these types and determine KMS states on  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  for these actions.

In [W1], Woess showed that our boundary  $\Omega$  can be identified with the Poisson boundary of random walks satisfying certain conditions. The reader is referred to [W2] for a good survey of random walks.

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\Gamma$  and consider a random walk governed by  $\mu$ , i.e. the transition probability from  $x$  to  $y$  given by

$$p(x, y) = \mu(x^{-1}y).$$

A random walk is said to be *irreducible* if for any  $x, y \in \Gamma$ ,  $p^{(n)}(x, y) \neq 0$  for some integer  $n$ , where

$$p^{(n)}(x, y) = \sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \Gamma} p(x, x_1)p(x_1, x_2) \cdots p(x_{n-1}, y).$$

A probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\Omega$  is said to be *stationary* with respect to  $\mu$  if  $\nu = \mu * \nu$ , where  $\mu * \nu$  is defined by

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\omega) d\mu * \nu(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\text{supp } \mu} f(g\omega) d\mu(g) d\nu(\omega), \quad \text{for } f \in C(\Omega, \nu).$$

By [W1, Theorem 9.1], if a random walk governed by a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Gamma$  is irreducible, then there exists a unique stationary probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\Omega$  with respect to  $\mu$ . Moreover if  $\mu$  has finite support, then the Poisson boundary coincides with  $(\Omega, \nu)$ .

If  $\nu$  is a probability measure on the compact space  $\Omega$ , then we can define a state  $\phi_\nu$  by

$$\phi_\nu(X) = \int_{\Omega} E(X)d\nu \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{O}_\Gamma,$$

where  $E$  is the canonical conditional expectation of  $C(\Omega) \rtimes_r \Gamma$  onto  $C(\Omega)$ .

One of our purposes in this section is to prove that there exists a random walk governed by a probability measure  $\mu$  that induces the stationary measure  $\nu$  on  $\Omega$  such that the corresponding state  $\phi_\nu$  is the unique KMS state for  $\alpha^\omega$ . Namely,

**Theorem 8.1** *Assume that the matrix  $A_\Gamma$  obtained in the preceding section is irreducible. For any  $\omega = (\omega_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{|I|}$ , there exists a unique probability measure  $\mu$  with the following properties:*

- (i)  $\text{supp}(\mu) = \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \setminus H$ .
- (ii)  $\mu(gh) = \mu(g)$  for any  $g \in \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \setminus H$  and  $h \in H$ .
- (iii) *The corresponding unique stationary measure  $\nu$  on  $\Omega$  induces the unique KMS state  $\phi_\nu$  for  $\alpha^\omega$  and the corresponding inverse temperature  $\beta$  is also unique.*

We need the hypothesis of the irreducibility of the matrix  $A_\Gamma$  for the uniqueness of the KMS state. Though it is, in general, difficult to check the irreducibility of  $A_\Gamma$ , by Theorem 6.5, the condition of simplicity of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  in Corollary 6.4 is also a sufficient condition for irreducibility of  $A_\Gamma$ . To obtain the theorem, we first present two lemmas.

**Lemma 8.2** *Assume that  $\nu$  is a probability measure on  $\Omega$ . Then the corresponding state  $\phi_\nu$  is the KMS state for  $\alpha^\omega$  if and only if  $\nu$  satisfies the following conditions:*

$$\nu(\Omega(x_1 \cdots x_m)) = \frac{e^{-\beta\omega_{i_1}} \cdots e^{-\beta\omega_{i_{m-1}}}}{[G_{i_m} : H] - 1 + e^{\beta\omega_{i_m}}},$$

for  $x_k \in \Omega_{i_k}$  with  $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_m$ , where  $\Omega(x_1 \cdots x_m)$  is the cylinder subset of  $\Omega$  defined by

$$\Omega(x_1 \cdots x_m) = \{(x(n))_{n \geq 1} \in \Omega \mid x(1) = x_1, \dots, x(m) = x_m\}.$$

*Proof*  $\phi_\nu$  is the KMS state for  $\alpha^\omega$  if and only if

$$\phi_\nu(S_\xi P_i U_h S_\eta^* \cdot S_\sigma P_j U_k S_\tau^*) = \phi(S_\sigma P_j U_k S_\tau^* \cdot \alpha_{\sqrt{-1}\beta}^\omega(S_\xi P_i U_h S_\eta^*)),$$

for any  $\xi, \eta, \sigma, \tau \in \Delta, h, k \in H$  and  $i, j \in I$ .

We may assume that  $|\xi| + |\sigma| = |\eta| + |\tau|$  and  $|\eta| \geq |\sigma|$ . Set  $|\xi| = p, |\eta| = q, |\sigma| = s, |\tau| = t$  and let  $\xi = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_p, \eta = \eta_1 \cdots \eta_q$  with  $\xi_k \in \Omega_{i_k} \setminus \{e\}, \eta_l \in \Omega_{j_l} \setminus \{e\}$  and  $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_p, j_1 \neq \cdots \neq j_q$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\nu(S_\xi P_i U_h S_\eta^* \cdot S_\sigma P_j U_k S_\tau^*) &= \delta_{\eta_1 \cdots \eta_s, \sigma} \delta_{\eta_{s+1}, j} \phi_\nu(S_\xi P_i U_h S_{\eta_{s+1} \cdots \eta_q}^* U_k S_\tau^*) \\ &= \delta_{\eta_1 \cdots \eta_s, \sigma} \delta_{\eta_{s+1}, j} \phi_\nu(S_\xi P_i S_{\tau k^{-1} \eta_{s+1} \cdots \eta_q}) \\ &= \delta_{\eta_1 \cdots \eta_s, \sigma} \delta_{\eta_{s+1}, j} \delta_{\xi h, \tau k^{-1} \eta_{s+1} \cdots \eta_q} \sum_{x \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \nu(\Omega(\xi x)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\nu(S_\sigma P_j U_k S_\tau^* \cdot \alpha_{\sqrt{-1}\beta}^\omega(S_\xi P_i U_h S_\eta^*)) &= e^{-\beta\omega_{i_1}} \cdots e^{-\beta\omega_{i_p}} e^{\beta\omega_{j_1}} \cdots e^{\beta\omega_{j_q}} \phi_\nu(S_\sigma P_j U_k S_\tau^* \cdot S_\xi P_i U_h S_\eta^*) \\ &= e^{-\beta\omega_{i_1}} \cdots e^{-\beta\omega_{i_p}} e^{\beta\omega_{j_1}} \cdots e^{\beta\omega_{j_q}} \delta_{\tau, \xi_1 \cdots \xi_t} \delta_{\xi_{t+1}, j} \phi_\nu(S_{\sigma k \xi_{t+1} \cdots \xi_p h} P_i S_\eta^*) \\ &= e^{-\beta\omega_{i_1}} \cdots e^{-\beta\omega_{i_p}} e^{\beta\omega_{j_1}} \cdots e^{\beta\omega_{j_q}} \delta_{\tau, \xi_1 \cdots \xi_t} \delta_{\xi_{t+1}, j} \delta_{\sigma k \xi_{t+1} \cdots \xi_p h, \eta} \sum_{x \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \nu(\Omega(\eta x)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_{g,i} = 1$  only if  $g \in G_i \setminus H$ . Therefore the corresponding state  $\phi_\nu$  is the KMS state for  $\alpha^\omega$  if and only if  $\nu$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$\nu(\Omega(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_p x)) = e^{-\beta\omega_{i_1}} \cdots e^{-\beta\omega_{i_p}} \nu(\Omega(x)),$$

for  $x \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}$  with  $i \neq i_p$ .

Now we assume that  $\phi_\nu$  is the KMS state for  $\alpha^\omega$ . Then for  $i \in I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(Y_i) &= \phi_\nu(P_i) = \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \phi_\nu(S_g S_g^*) \\ &= \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \phi_\nu(S_g^* \alpha_{\sqrt{-1}\beta}^\omega(S_g)) \\ &= e^{-\beta\omega_i} \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \phi_\nu(Q_g) \\ &= e^{-\beta\omega_i} \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \phi_\nu(1 - P_i) \\ &= e^{-\beta\omega_i} ([G_i : H] - 1)(1 - \nu(Y_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\nu(Y_i) = \frac{[G_i : H] - 1}{[G_i : H] - 1 + e^{\beta\omega_i}}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\Omega(x_1 \dots x_m)) &= \phi_\nu(S_{x_1} \cdots S_{x_m} S_{x_m}^* \cdots S_{x_1}^*) \\ &= \phi_\nu(S_{x_m}^* \cdots S_{x_1}^* \alpha_{\sqrt{-1}\beta}^\omega(S_{x_1} \cdots S_{x_m})) \\ &= e^{-\beta\omega_{i_1}} \cdots e^{-\beta\omega_{i_m}} \phi_\nu(Q_{x_m}) \\ &= e^{-\beta\omega_{i_1}} \cdots e^{-\beta\omega_{i_m}} (1 - \nu(\Omega(Y_{i_m}))) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\beta\omega_{i_1}} \cdots e^{-\beta\omega_{i_{m-1}}}}{[G_{i_m} : H] - 1 + e^{\beta\omega_{i_m}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that a probability measure  $\nu$  satisfies the condition of this lemma. By the first part of this proof,  $\phi_\nu$  is the KMS state for  $\alpha^\omega$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.3** *Assume that  $\nu$  is the unique stationary measure on  $\Omega$  with respect to a random walk on  $\Gamma$ , governed by a probability measure  $\mu$  with the conditions (i), (ii) in Theorem 8.1. Then  $\phi_\nu$  is a  $\beta$ -KMS state for  $\alpha^\omega$  if and only if  $\mu$  satisfies the following conditions:*

$$\mu(g) = \frac{\prod_{j \neq i} C_j}{\sum_{k \in I} (g_k \prod_{l \neq k} C_l)} \quad \text{for } g \in G_i \setminus H \quad \text{and } i \in I,$$

where  $g_i = |G_i \setminus H|$  and  $C_i = (1 - e^{-\beta\omega_i})g_i - (1 - e^{\beta\omega_i})|H|$  for  $i \in I$ .

*Proof* Assume that  $\phi_\nu$  is a  $\beta$ -KMS state for  $\alpha^\omega$ . For any  $f \in C(\Omega)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint f(\omega) d\nu(\omega) &= \iint f(\omega) d\mu * \nu(\omega) \\ &= \iint f(g\omega) d\nu(\omega) d\mu(g) \\ &= \iint (\lambda_g^* f \lambda_g)(\omega) d\nu(\omega) d\mu(g) \\ &= \sum_{g \in \text{supp}(\mu)} \mu(g) \phi_\nu(\lambda_g^* f \lambda_g) \\ &= \sum_{g \in \text{supp}(\mu)} \mu(g) \phi_\nu(f \lambda_g \alpha_{\sqrt{-1}\beta}^\omega(\lambda_g^*)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma \simeq C(\Omega) \rtimes_r \Gamma = C^*(f, \lambda_\gamma \mid f \in C(\Omega), \gamma \in \Gamma)$ .

Put  $f = \chi_{\Omega(x)} = P_x$  for  $i \in I$  and  $x \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}$ . Since  $\lambda_g = S_g + \sum_{g' \in \Omega_{i'} \setminus H \cup g^{-1}H} S_{gg'}S_{g'}^* + S_{g^{-1}}^*$  for  $g \in G_{i'} \setminus H$  and  $i' \in I$ , we have

$$1 = \sum_{gH=xH} \mu(g)e^{\beta\omega_i} + \sum_{g \in G_i \setminus H, gH \neq xH} \mu(g) + \sum_{g \in G_j \setminus H, j \neq i} \mu(g)e^{-\beta\omega_j}$$

for any  $i \in I$  and  $x \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}$ . Let  $x, y \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}$  with  $xH \neq yH$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{gH=xH} \mu(g)e^{\beta\omega_i} + \sum_{gH \neq xH} \mu(g) + \sum_{g \in G_j \setminus H, j \neq i} \mu(g)e^{-\beta\omega_j}, \\ 1 &= \sum_{gH=yH} \mu(g)e^{\beta\omega_i} + \sum_{gH \neq yH} \mu(g) + \sum_{g \in G_j \setminus H, j \neq i} \mu(g)e^{-\beta\omega_j}. \end{aligned}$$

By the above equations, we have  $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$ , and then it follows from hypothesis (ii) in Theorem 8.1 that  $\mu(g) = \mu_i$  for any  $g \in G_i \setminus H$ . Therefore we have

$$1 = |H|e^{\beta\omega_i}\mu_i + (g_i - |H|)\mu_i + \sum_{j \neq i} g_j e^{-\beta\omega_j}\mu_j,$$

for any  $i \in I$ , where  $g_i = |G_i \setminus H|$ . Thus by considering the above equations for  $i$  and  $j \in I$ ,

$$|H|e^{\beta\omega_i}\mu_i - |H|e^{\beta\omega_j}\mu_j + (g_i - |H|)\mu_i - (g_j - |H|)\mu_j + g_j e^{-\beta\omega_j}\mu_j - g_i e^{-\beta\omega_i}\mu_i = 0.$$

Hence we obtain the equation,

$$(|H|e^{\beta\omega_i} + g_i - |H| - g_i e^{-\beta\omega_i})\mu_i = (|H|e^{\beta\omega_j} + g_j - |H| - g_j e^{-\beta\omega_j})\mu_j.$$

Since  $\mu(\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \setminus H) = 1$ , we have

$$g_i\mu_i + \sum_{j \neq i} g_j \frac{(1 - e^{-\beta\omega_i})g_i - (1 - e^{-\beta\omega_i})|H|}{(1 - e^{-\beta\omega_j})g_j - (1 - e^{-\beta\omega_j})|H|}\mu_i = 1.$$

We put  $C_i = (1 - e^{-\beta\omega_i})g_i - (1 - e^{-\beta\omega_i})|H|$  and then

$$(g_i + C_i \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{g_j}{C_j})\mu_i = 1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i &= \frac{1}{g_i + C_i \sum_{j \neq i} g_j/C_j} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{j \neq i} C_j}{g_i \prod_{j \neq i} C_j + \sum_{j \neq i} (g_j C_i \prod_{k \neq i, j} C_k)} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{j \neq i} C_j}{\sum_{k \in I} g_k \prod_{l \neq k} C_l}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let  $\nu$  be the probability measure on  $\Omega$  satisfying the condition in Lemma 8.2. Then the corresponding state  $\phi_\nu$  is the KMS state. It is enough to check that  $\mu * \nu = \nu$  by [W1]. Since

$$\nu(\Omega(x_1 \cdots x_n)) = e^{-\beta\omega_{i_1}} \cdots e^{-\beta\omega_{i_{n-1}}} \nu(\Omega(x_n)),$$

for  $x_k \in \Omega_{i_k} \setminus \{e\}$  with  $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu * \nu(\Omega(x_1 \cdots x_n)) &= \iint \chi_{\Omega(x_1 \cdots x_n)}(\omega) d\mu * \nu(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{g \in \text{supp } \mu} \mu(g) \int (\lambda_g^* \chi_{\Omega(x_1 \cdots x_n)} \lambda_g)(\omega) d\nu(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G_{i_1} \setminus H, x_1 H = gH} \mu_{i_1} \phi_\nu(S_{x_2} \cdots S_{x_n} S_{x_n}^* \cdots S_{x_2}^*) \\ &\quad + \sum_{g \in G_{i_1} \setminus H, x_1 H \neq gH} \mu_{i_1} \phi_\nu(S_{g^{-1}x_1} S_{x_2} \cdots S_{x_n} S_{x_n}^* \cdots S_{x_2}^* S_{g^{-1}x_1}^*) \\ &\quad + \sum_{g \in G_i \setminus H, i \neq i_1} \mu_i \phi_\nu(S_{g^{-1}} S_{x_1} S_{x_2} \cdots S_{x_n} S_{x_n}^* \cdots S_{x_2}^* S_{x_1}^* S_{g^{-1}}^*) \\ &= \left( |H| e^{\beta\omega_{i_1}} \mu_{i_1} + (g_{i_1} - |H|) \mu_{i_1} + \sum_{i \neq i_1} g_i e^{-\beta\omega_i} \mu_i \right) \nu(\Omega(x_1 \cdots x_n)) \\ &= \nu(\Omega(x_1 \cdots x_n)). \end{aligned}$$

□

To prove the uniqueness of KMS states of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ , we need the irreducibility of the matrix  $A_\Gamma$  (See [EFW2] for KMS states on Cuntz-Krieger algebras). Set an irreducible matrix  $B = [B((i, k), (j, l))] = [e^{-\beta\omega_i} A_\Gamma^t((i, k), (j, l))]$ . Let  $K_\beta$  be the set of all  $\beta$ -KMS states for the action  $\alpha^\omega$ . We put

$$L_\beta = \{y = [y(i, k)] \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid By = y, \quad y(i, k) \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{k=1}^r n_k y(i, k) = 1\}.$$

We now have the necessary ingredients for the proof of Theorem 8.1.

*Proof of Theorem 8.1* We first prove the uniqueness of the corresponding inverse temperature. Let  $\phi$  be a  $\beta$ -KMS state for  $\alpha^\omega$ . For  $i \in I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(P_i) &= \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \phi(S_g S_g^*) = \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \phi(S_g^* \alpha_{\sqrt{-1}\beta}^\omega(S_g)) \\ &= e^{-\beta\omega_i} \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \phi(Q_g) \\ &= e^{-\beta\omega_i} ([G_i : H] - 1)(1 - \phi(P_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\phi(P_i) = \lambda_i(\beta)/(1 + \lambda_i(\beta))$ , where  $\lambda_i(\beta) = e^{-\beta\omega_i}([G_i : H] - 1)$ . Since  $\sum_{i \in I} P_i = 1$ ,

$$|I| - 1 = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i(\beta)}.$$

The function  $\sum_{i \in I} 1/(1 + \lambda_i(\beta))$  is a monotone increasing continuous function such that

$$\sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i(\beta)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i \in I} 1/[G_i : H] & \text{if } \beta = 0, \\ |I| & \text{if } \beta \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\sum_{i \in I} 1/[G_i : H] \leq |I|/2 \leq |I| - 1$ , there exists a unique  $\beta$  satisfying

$$|I| - 1 = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{([G_i : H] - 1)e^{-\beta\omega_i} + 1}.$$

Therefore we obtain the uniqueness of the inverse temperature  $\beta$ .

We will next show the uniqueness of the KMS state  $\phi_\nu$ . We claim that  $K_\beta$  is in one-to-one correspondence with  $L_\beta$ . In fact, we define a map  $f$  from  $K_\beta$  to  $L_\beta$  by

$$f(\phi) = [\phi(P(i, k))/n_k].$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\beta\omega_i} \phi(P(i, k)) &= \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \phi(p_k S_g \alpha_{\sqrt{-1}\beta}^\omega(S_g^*)) \\ &= \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \phi(S_g^* p_k S_g) \\ &= \frac{n_k}{|H|} \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \sum_{h \in H} \overline{\chi_k(h)} \phi(S_g^* U_h S_g) \\ &= \frac{n_k}{|H|} \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \sum_{h \in H(g)} \overline{\chi_k(h)} \phi(Q_g U_{g^{-1}hg}) \\ &= \frac{n_k}{|H|} \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \sum_{h \in H(g)} \overline{\chi_k(h)} \sum_{j \neq i} \phi(P_j U_{g^{-1}hg} P_j) \\ &= \frac{n_k}{|H|} \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \sum_{h \in H(g)} \overline{\chi_k(h)} \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{l=1}^r \phi(P(j, l) U_{g^{-1}hg} P(j, l)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi$  is a trace on  $C^*(P(j, l)U_h P(j, l) \mid h \in H) \simeq M_{n_l}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_{n_l}(\mathbb{C})$  has a unique tracial state, we have

$$\phi(P(j, l) U_{g^{-1}hg} P(j, l)) = \chi_l(g^{-1}hg) \frac{\phi(P(j, l))}{n_l}.$$

Therefore, by the same arguments as in the previous section, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{\beta\omega_i} \phi(P(i, k)) \\
&= \frac{n_k}{|H|} \sum_{g \in \Omega_i \setminus \{e\}} \sum_{h \in H(g)} \overline{\chi_k(h)} \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{l=1}^r \phi(P(j, l) U_{g^{-1}hg} P(j, l)) \\
&= n_k \sum_{x \in X_i \setminus \{e\}} \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{l=1}^r \langle \chi_k, \chi_l^x \rangle_{H(x)} \phi(P(j, l)) / n_l \\
&= n_k \sum_{(j, l)} A_\Gamma((j, l), (i, k)) \phi(P(j, l)) / n_l.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence this is well-defined.

Suppose that  $\nu$  is the probability measure in Lemma 8.2 and  $\phi_\nu$  is the induced  $\beta$ -KMS state for  $\alpha^\omega$ . Set a vector  $y = [y(i, k) = \phi_\nu(P(i, k))/n_k]$ . Since  $y$  is strictly positive and  $B$  is irreducible, 1 is the eigenvalue which dominates the absolute value of all eigenvalue of  $B$  by the Perron-Frobenius theorem. It also follows from the Perron-Frobenius theorem that  $L_\beta$  has only one element. Hence  $f$  is surjective.

Let  $\phi \in K_\beta$ . For  $\xi = \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_n}, \eta = \eta_{j_1} \cdots \eta_{j_n}$  with  $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n, j_1 \neq \cdots \neq j_n, h \in H$  and  $i \in I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{\beta\omega_{j_1}} \cdots e^{\beta\omega_{j_n}} \phi(S_\xi U_h P_i S_\eta^*) = \phi(S_\xi U_h P_i \alpha_{\sqrt{-1}\beta}^\omega(S_\eta^*)) \\
&= \phi(S_\eta^* S_\xi U_h P_i) = \delta_{\xi, \eta} \phi(U_h P_i) \\
&= \delta_{\xi, \eta} \sum_{k=1}^r \phi(U_h P(i, k)) = \delta_{\xi, \eta} \sum_{k=1}^r \chi_k(h) \phi(P(i, k)) / n_k,
\end{aligned}$$

because  $\phi$  is a trace on  $C^*(U_h P(i, k) \mid h \in H) \simeq M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ . If  $f(\phi) = f(\psi)$ , then the above calculations imply  $\phi = \psi$  on  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\mathbb{T}$ . By the KMS condition,  $\phi(b) = 0 = \psi(b)$  for  $b \notin \mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\mathbb{T}$ . Thus  $\phi = \psi$  and  $f$  is injective. Therefore  $\phi_\nu$  is the unique  $\beta$ -KMS state for  $\alpha^\omega$ .  $\square$

**Remarks and Examples** Let  $\nu$  be the corresponding probability measure with the gauge action  $\alpha$ . Under the identification  $L^\infty(\Omega, \nu) \rtimes_w \Gamma \simeq \pi_\nu(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma)''$ , we can determine the type of the factor by essentially the same arguments as in [EFW2]. If  $H$  is trivial, then  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  is a Cuntz-Krieger algebra for some irreducible matrix with 0-1 entries. In this case, we can always apply the result in [EFW2]. This fact generalizes [RR]. If  $H$  is not trivial, then by using the condition of simplicity of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  in Corollary 6.4 to check the irreducibility of the matrix  $A_\Gamma$ , we can apply Theorem 8.1. In the special case where  $G_i = G$  for all  $i \in I$ , we can easily determine the type of the factor  $\pi_\nu(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma)''$  for the gauge action. The factor  $\pi_\nu(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma)''$  is of type III $_\lambda$  where  $\lambda = 1/([G : H] - 1)^2$  if  $|I| = 2$  and  $\lambda = 1/(|I| - 1)([G : H] - 1)$  if  $|I| > 2$ . For instance, let  $\Gamma = \mathfrak{S}_4 *_{\mathfrak{S}_3} \mathfrak{S}_4$ . We have already obtained the matrix  $A_\Gamma$  in section 7, but we can determine that the factor  $L^\infty(\Omega, \nu) \rtimes_w \Gamma$  is of type III $_{1/9}$  without using  $A_\Gamma$ .

We next discuss the converse. Namely any  $\mathbb{R}$ -actions that have KMS states induced by a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Gamma$  with some conditions is, in fact, a generalized gauge action.

Let  $\mu$  be a given probability measure on  $\Gamma$  with  $\text{supp}(\mu) = \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \setminus H$ . By [W1], there exists an unique probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu * \nu = \nu$ . Let  $(\pi_\nu, H_\nu, x_\nu)$  be the GNS-representation of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  with respect to the state  $\phi_\nu$ . We also denote a vector state of  $x_\nu$  by  $\phi_\nu$ .

$$\phi_\nu(a) = \langle ax_\nu, x_\nu \rangle \quad \text{for } a \in \pi_\nu(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma)''.$$

Let  $\sigma_t^\nu$  be the modular automorphism group of  $\phi_\nu$ .

**Theorem 8.4** Suppose that  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $\Gamma$  such that  $\text{supp}(\mu) = \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \setminus H$  and  $\mu(g) = \mu(hg)$  for any  $g \in \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \setminus H$ ,  $h \in H$ . If  $\nu$  is the corresponding stationary measure with respect to  $\mu$ , then there exists  $\omega_g \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\sigma_t^\nu(\pi_\nu(S_g)) = e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega_g t} \pi_\nu(S_g) \quad \text{for } g \in G_i \setminus H, i \in I,$$

and

$$\sigma_t^\nu(\pi_\nu(U_h)) = \pi_\nu(U_h) \quad \text{for } h \in H.$$

*Proof* To prove that  $\sigma_t^\nu(\pi_\nu(S_g)) = e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega_g t} \pi_\nu(S_g)$ , it suffices to show that there exists  $\zeta_g \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$(*) \quad \phi_\nu(\pi_\nu(S_g)a) = \zeta_g \phi_\nu(a \pi_\nu(S_g)) \quad \text{for } g \in G_i \setminus H, a \in \pi_\nu(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma)''.$$

In fact, Let  $\Delta_\nu$  be the modular operator and  $J_\nu$  be the modular conjugate of  $\phi_\nu$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{left hand side of } (*)) &= \langle \pi_\nu(S_g)ax_\nu, x_\nu \rangle \\ &= \langle ax_\nu, \pi_\nu(S_g)^*x_\nu \rangle \\ &= \langle ax_\nu, J_\nu \Delta_\nu^{1/2} \pi_\nu(S_g)x_\nu \rangle \\ &= \langle \Delta_\nu^{1/2} \pi_\nu(S_g)x_\nu, J_\nu ax_\nu \rangle \\ &= \langle \Delta_\nu^{1/2} \pi_\nu(S_g)x_\nu, \Delta_\nu^{1/2} a^*x_\nu \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{right hand side of } (*)) &= \zeta_g \langle a \pi_\nu(S_g)x_\nu, x_\nu \rangle \\ &= \zeta_g \langle \pi_\nu(S_g)x_\nu, a^*x_\nu \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for  $a \in \pi_\nu(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma)''$ ,

$$\langle \Delta_\nu^{1/2} \pi_\nu(S_g)x_\nu, \Delta_\nu^{1/2} a^*x_\nu \rangle = \zeta_g \langle \pi_\nu(S_g)x_\nu, a^*x_\nu \rangle.$$

and hence for  $y \in \text{dom}(\Delta_\nu^{1/2})$ , we have

$$\langle \Delta_\nu^{1/2} \pi_\nu(S_g)x_\nu, \Delta_\nu^{1/2} y \rangle = \zeta_g \langle \pi_\nu(S_g)x_\nu, y \rangle.$$

Thus  $\Delta_\nu^{1/2} \pi_\nu(S_g) x_\nu \in \text{dom}(\Delta_\nu^{1/2})$  and we obtain

$$\Delta_\nu \pi_\nu(S_g) x_\nu = \zeta_g \pi_\nu(S_g) x_\nu.$$

Therefore

$$\Delta_\nu^{\sqrt{-1}t} \pi_\nu(S_g) x_\nu = \zeta_g^{\sqrt{-1}t} \pi_\nu(S_g) x_\nu,$$

and then

$$(\sigma_t^\nu(\pi_\nu(S_g)) - \zeta_g^{\sqrt{-1}t} \pi_\nu(S_g)) x_\nu = 0,$$

where  $\sigma_t^\nu$  is the modular automorphism group of  $\phi_\nu$ . Since  $x_\nu$  is a separating vector,

$$\sigma_t^\nu(\pi_\nu(S_g)) = \zeta_g^{\sqrt{-1}t} \pi_\nu(S_g).$$

Now we will show that

$$\phi_\nu(\pi_\nu(S_g)a) = \zeta_g \phi_\nu(a \pi_\nu(S_g)) \quad \text{for } g \in G_i \setminus H, a \in \pi_\nu(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma)''.$$

We may assume that  $a = f \lambda_{g^{-1}}$  for  $f \in C(\Omega)$ . Recall that  $S_g = \lambda_g \chi_{\Omega \setminus Y_i} \in C(\Omega) \rtimes_r \Gamma$ . Since

$$\phi_\nu(\pi_\nu(S_g a)) = \int_{\Omega \setminus Y_i} f(g^{-1}\omega) d\nu(\omega) = \int_{\Omega \setminus Y_i} f(\omega) \frac{dg^{-1}\nu}{d\nu}(\omega) d\nu(\omega),$$

we claim that

$$\frac{dg^{-1}\nu}{d\nu}(\omega) = \zeta_g \quad \text{on } \Omega \setminus Y_i.$$

This is the Martin kernel  $K(g^{-1}, \omega)$ , (See [W1]). Hence it suffices to show that  $K(g^{-1}, x)$  is constant for any  $x = x_1 \cdots x_n \in \Gamma$  such that  $x_1 \notin G_i$ . By [W1], we have

$$K(g^{-1}, x) = \frac{G(g^{-1}, x)}{G(e, x)},$$

where  $G(y, z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^{(k)}(y, z)$  is the Green kernel. Since any probability from  $g^{-1}$  to  $x$  must be through elements of  $H$  at least once, we have

$$G(g^{-1}, x) = \sum_{h \in H} F(g^{-1}, h) G(h, x),$$

where  $s^x = \inf\{n \geq 0 \mid Z_n = x\}$  and  $F(g, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Pr_g[s^x = n]$  in [W2]. By hypothesis  $\mu(g) = \mu(hg)$  for any  $g \in \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \setminus H$  and  $h \in H$ , we have

$$G(h, x) = G(e, x) \quad \text{for any } h \in H.$$

Therefore we have  $\omega_g = \log(\sum_{h \in H} F(g^{-1}, h))$ .  $\sigma_t^\nu(\pi_\nu(U_h)) = \pi_\nu(U_h)$  can be proved in the same way. Hence we are done.  $\square$

## 9 Appendix

**Trees** We first review trees based on [FN]. A *graph* is a pair  $(V, E)$  consisting of a set of vertices  $V$  and a family  $E$  of two-element subsets of  $V$ , called edges. A *path* is a finite sequence  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq V$  such that  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \in E$ .  $(V, E)$  is said to be *connected* if for  $x, y \in V$  there exists a path  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  with  $x_1 = x, x_n = y$ . If  $(V, E)$  is a tree, then for  $x, y \in V$  there exists a unique path  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  joining  $x$  to  $y$  such that  $x_i \neq x_{i+2}$ . We denote this path by  $[x, y]$ . A tree is said to be *locally finite* if every vertex belongs to finitely many edges. The number of edges to which a vertex of a locally finite tree belongs is called a *degree*. If the degree is independent of the choice of vertices, then the tree is called *homogeneous*.

We introduce trees for amalgamated free product groups based on [Ser]. Let  $(G_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of groups with an index set  $I$ . When  $H$  is a group and every  $G_i$  contains  $H$  as a subgroup, then we denote  $*_H G_i$  by  $\Gamma$ , which is the amalgamated free product of the groups. If we choose sets  $\Omega_i$  of left representatives of  $G_i/H$  with  $e \in \Omega_i$  for any  $i \in I$ , then each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  can be written uniquely as

$$\gamma = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n h,$$

where  $h \in H, g_1 \in \Omega_{i_1} \setminus \{e\}, \dots, g_n \in \Omega_{i_n} \setminus \{e\}$  and  $i_1 \neq i_2, i_2 \neq i_3, \dots, i_{n-1} \neq i_n$ .

Now we construct the corresponding tree. At first, we assume that  $I = \{1, 2\}$ . Let

$$V = \Gamma/G_1 \coprod \Gamma/G_2 \text{ and } E = \Gamma/H,$$

and the original and terminal maps  $o : \Gamma/H \rightarrow \Gamma/G_1$  and  $t : \Gamma/H \rightarrow \Gamma/G_2$  are natural surjections. It is easy to see that  $G_T = (V, E)$  is a tree. In general, we assume that the element 0 does not belong to  $I$ . Let  $G_0 = H$  and  $H_i = H$  for  $i \in I$ . Then we define

$$V = \coprod_{i \in I \cup \{0\}} \Gamma/G_i \text{ and } E = \coprod_{i \in I} \Gamma/H_i.$$

Now we define two maps  $o, t : E \rightarrow V$ . For  $H_i \in E$ , let

$$o(H_i) = G_0 \text{ and } t(H_i) = G_i.$$

For any  $\gamma H_i \in E$ , we may assume that  $\gamma H = g_1 \cdots g_n H_i$  such that  $g_k \in \Omega_{i_k}$  with  $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n$ . If  $i = i_n$  we define

$$o(\gamma H_i) = \gamma G_{i_n} \text{ and } t(\gamma H_i) = \gamma G_0.$$

If  $i \neq i_n$  we define

$$o(\gamma H_i) = \gamma G_0 \text{ and } t(\gamma H_i) = \gamma G_i.$$

Then we have a tree  $G_T = (V, E)$ .

For a tree  $(V, E)$ , the set  $V$  is naturally a metric space. The distance  $d(x, y)$  is defined by the number of edges in the unique path  $[x, y]$ . An *infinite chain* is an infinite path  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  such that  $x_i \neq x_{i+2}$ . We define an equivalence relation on the set of infinite chains. Two infinite chains  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}, \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$  are equivalent if there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $x_n = y_{n+k}$  for a sufficiently large  $n$ . The boundary  $\Omega$  of a tree is the set of the equivalence classes of infinite chains. The boundary may be thought of as a point at infinity. Next we introduce the topology into the space  $V \cup \Omega$  such that  $V \cup \Omega$  is compact, the points of  $V$  are open and  $V$  is dense in  $V \cup \Omega$ . It suffices to define a basis of neighborhoods for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Let  $x$  be a vertex. Let  $\{x, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  be an infinite chain representing  $\omega$ . For each  $y = x_n$ , the neighborhood of  $\omega$  is defined to consist of all vertices and all boundary points of the infinite chains which include  $[x, y]$ .

**Hyperbolic groups** We introduce hyperbolic groups defined by Gromov. See [GH] for details. Suppose that  $(X, d)$  is a metric space. We define a product by

$$\langle x|y \rangle_z = \frac{1}{2}\{d(x, z) + d(y, z) - d(x, y)\},$$

for  $x, y, z \in X$ . This is called the Gromov product. Let  $\delta \geq 0$  and  $w \in X$ . A metric space  $X$  is said to be  $\delta$ -hyperbolic with respect to  $w$  if For  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$\langle x|y \rangle_w \geq \min\{\langle x|z \rangle_w, \langle y|z \rangle_w\} - \delta. \quad (\ddagger)$$

Note that if  $X$  is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic with respect to  $w$ , then  $X$  is  $2\delta$ -hyperbolic with respect to any  $w' \in X$ .

**Definition 9.1** *The space  $X$  is said to be hyperbolic if  $X$  is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic with respect to some  $w \in X$  and some  $\delta \geq 0$ .*

Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a group generated by a finite subset  $S$  such that  $S^{-1} = S$ . Let  $G(\Gamma, S)$  be the Cayley graph. The graph  $G(\Gamma, S)$  has a natural word metric. Hence  $G(\Gamma, S)$  is a metric space.

**Definition 9.2** *A finitely generated group  $\Gamma$  is said to be hyperbolic with respect to a finite generator system  $S$  if the corresponding Cayley graph  $G(\Gamma, S)$  is hyperbolic with respect to the word metric.*

*In fact, hyperbolicity is independent of the choice of  $S$ . Therefore we say that  $\Gamma$  is a hyperbolic group, for short.*

We define the hyperbolic boundary of a hyperbolic space  $X$ . Let  $w \in X$  be a point. A sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  is said to *converge to infinity* if  $\langle x_n|x_m \rangle_w \rightarrow \infty$ , ( $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ ). Note that this is independent of the choice of  $w$ . The set  $X_\infty$  is the set of all sequences converging to infinity in  $X$ . Then we define an equivalence relation in  $X_\infty$ . Two sequences  $(x_n), (y_n)$  are equivalent if  $\langle x_n|y_n \rangle_w \rightarrow \infty$ , ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Although this is not an equivalence

relation in general, the hyperbolicity assures that it is indeed an equivalence relation. The set of all equivalent classes of  $X_\infty$  is called the *hyperbolic boundary (at infinity)* and denoted by  $\partial X$ . Next we define the Gromov product on  $X \cup \partial X$ . For  $x, y \in X \cup \partial X$ , we choose sequences  $(x_n), (y_n)$  converging to  $x, y$ , respectively. Then we define  $\langle x|y \rangle = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n|y_n \rangle_w$ . Note that this is well-defined and if  $x, y \in X$  then the above product coincides with the Gromov product on  $X$ .

**Definition 9.3** *The topology of  $X \cup \partial X$  is defined by the following neighborhood basis:*

$$\begin{aligned} \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < r\} &\quad \text{for } x \in X, r > 0, \\ \{y \in X \cup \partial X \mid \langle x|y \rangle > r\} &\quad \text{for } x \in \partial X, r > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that if  $X$  is a tree, then the hyperbolic boundary  $\partial X$  coincides with the natural boundary  $\Omega$  in the sense of [Fre].

Finally we prove that an amalgamated free product  $\Gamma = *_H G_i$ , considered in this paper, is a hyperbolic group.

**Lemma 9.4** *The group  $\Gamma = *_H G_i$  is a hyperbolic group.*

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{g \in \bigcup_i G_i \mid |g| \leq 1\}$ . Let  $G(\Gamma, S)$  be the corresponding Cayley graph. It suffices to show (‡) for  $w = e$ . For  $x, y, z \in \Gamma$ , we can write uniquely as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_1 \cdots x_n h_x, \\ y &= y_1 \cdots y_m h_y, \\ z &= z_1 \cdots z_k h_z, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &\in \Omega_{i(x_1)}, \dots, x_n \in \Omega_{i(x_n)}, h_x \in H, \\ y_1 &\in \Omega_{i(y_1)}, \dots, y_m \in \Omega_{i(y_m)}, h_y \in H, \\ z_1 &\in \Omega_{i(z_1)}, \dots, z_k \in \Omega_{i(z_k)}, h_z \in H. \end{aligned}$$

such that each element has length one. Then  $d(x, e) = n$ ,  $d(y, e) = m$  and  $d(z, e) = k$ . If  $i(x_1) = i(y_1), \dots, i(x_{l(x,y)}) = i(y_{l(x,y)})$  and  $i(x_{l(x,y)+1}) \neq i(y_{l(x,y)+1})$ , then  $\langle x|y \rangle_e = l(x, y)$ . Similarly, we obtain the positive integers  $l(x, z), l(y, x)$  such that  $\langle x|z \rangle_e = l(x, z), \langle y|z \rangle_e = l(y, z)$ . We can have (‡) with  $\delta = 0$ .  $\square$

## References

- [Ada] Adams, S. *Boundary amenability for word hyperbolic groups and an application to smooth dynamics of simple groups*. Topology **33** (1994), no. 4, 765–783.
- [Ana] Anantharaman-Delaroche, C. *Systèmes dynamiques non commutatifs et moyennabilité*. Math. Ann. **279** (1987), no. 2, 297–315.

[AS] Archbold, R. J. and Spielberg, J. S. *Topologically free actions and ideals in discrete  $C^*$ -dynamical systems*. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **37** (1994), no. 1, 119–124.

[BKR] Boyd, S. , Keswani, N. and Raeburn, I. *Faithful representations of crossed products by endomorphisms*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), no. 2, 427–436.

[Cho] Choi, M D. *A simple  $C^*$ -algebra generated by two finite-order unitaries*. Canad. J. Math. **31** (1979), no. 4, 867–880.

[C1] Cuntz, J. *Simple  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries*. Comm. Math. Phys. **57** (1977), no. 2, 173–185.

[C2] Cuntz, J. *A class of  $C^*$ -algebras and topological Markov chains. II. Reducible chains and the Ext-functor for  $C^*$ -algebras*. Invent. Math. **63** (1981), no. 1, 25–40.

[CK] Cuntz, J. and Krieger, W *A class of  $C^*$ -algebras and topological Markov chains*. Invent. Math. **56** (1980), no. 3, 251–268.

[D1] K.J. Dykema: *Exactness of reduced amalgamated free products of  $C^*$ -algebras*. to appear in Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.

[D2] K.J. Dykema: *Free products of exact groups*. preprint (1999)

[DS] K.J. Dykema and D. Shlyakhtenko: *Exactness of Cuntz-Pimsner  $C^*$ -algebras*. to appear in Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.

[EFW1] Enomoto, M. , Fujii, M and Watatani, Y. *Tensor algebra on the sub-Fock space associated with  $\mathcal{O}_A$* . Math. Japon. **26** (1981), no. 2, 171–177.

[EFW2] Enomoto, M. , Fujii, M. and Watatani, Y. *KMS states for gauge action on  $\mathcal{O}_A$* . Math. Japon. **29** (1984), no. 4, 607–619.

[Eva] Evans, D E. *Gauge actions on  $\mathcal{O}_A$* . J. Operator Theory **7** (1982), no. 1, 79–100.

[FN] Figà-Talamanca, H and Nebbia, C. *Harmonic analysis and representation theory for groups acting on homogeneous trees.*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 162. (Cambridge University Press, 1991).

[Fre] Freudenthal, H. *Über die Enden diskreter Räume und Gruppen*. Comment. Math. Helv. **17** (1944) 1–38.

[Fur] Furstenberg, H. *Boundary theory and stochastic processes on homogeneous spaces. Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces*. (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVI, Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1972), 193–229. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.

[GH] Ghys, t. and de la Harpe, P. *Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'aprs Mikhael Gromov (Bern, 1988)*. Progr. Math., 83, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[KPW] Kajiwara, T. , Pinzari, C. and Watatani, Y. *Ideal structure and simplicity of the  $C^*$ -algebras generated by Hilbert bimodules*. J. Funct. Anal. **159** (1998), no. 2, 295–322.

[Kir] Kirchberg, E. *On subalgebras of the CAR-algebra*. J. Funct. Anal. **129** (1995), no. 1, 35–63.

[LS] Laca, M. and Spielberg, J. *Purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebras from boundary actions of discrete groups*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **480** (1996), 125–139.

[Pim1] Pimsner, M V.  *$KK$ -groups of crossed products by groups acting on trees*. Invent. Math. **86** (1986), no. 3, 603–634.

[Pim2] Pimsner, M V. *A class of  $C^*$ -algebras generalizing both Cuntz-Krieger algebras and crossed products by  $\mathbb{Z}$* . Free probability theory, 189–212, Fields Inst. Commun., 12, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[PV] Pimsner, M. and Voiculescu, D. *Exact sequences for  $K$ -groups and Ext-groups of certain cross-product  $C^*$ -algebras*. J. Operator Theory **4** (1980), no. 1, 93–118.

[RR] Ramagge, J. and Robertson, G. *Factors from trees*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 7, 2051–2055.

[RS] Robertson, G. and Steger, T.  *$C^*$ -algebras arising from group actions on the boundary of a triangle building*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **72** (1996), no. 3, 613–637.

[Ser] Serre, J-P. *Trees*. Translated from the French by John Stillwell. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.

[Spi] Spielberg, J. *Free-product groups, Cuntz-Krieger algebras, and covariant maps*. Internat. J. Math. **2** (1991), no. 4, 457–476.

[Sta] Stacey, P. J. *Crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras by  $*$ -endomorphisms*. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **54** (1993), no. 2, 204–212.

[SZ] Szymański, W. and Zhang, S. *Infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebras and reduced cross products of abelian  $C^*$ -algebras and free groups*. Manuscripta Math. **92** (1997), no. 4, 487–514.

[Was] Wassermann, S. *Exact  $C^*$ -algebras and related topics*. Lecture Notes Series, 19. Seoul National University, Research Institute of Mathematics, Global Analysis Research Center, Seoul, 1994.

- [W1] Woess, W. *Boundaries of random walks on graphs and groups with infinitely many ends*. Israel J. Math. **68** (1989), no. 3, 271–301.
- [W2] Woess, W. *Random walks on infinite graphs and groups*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **138**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.